


ATTACHMENT

5-2

DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT
INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAGE INSTALLATION
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006, REV.0
"ISFSI CASK STORAGE PAD
CONCRETE SHRINKAGE AND THERMAL STRESSES"



PREPARED BY:
ENERCON SERVICES, INC.
400 Valley Road, Suite 104
Mt. Arlington, NJ 07856

1



ENERCON SERVICES, INC.

ENGINEERING CALCULATION
COVER SHEET

Calc. No.	PGE-009-CALC-006
Rev.	0
Sheet	1 of 55
Title:	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
Client:	PG&E
Job No.	PGE-009

Purpose Of Calculation:

The purpose of this calculation is to compute the forces and moments within the storage pad for the temperatures resulting from the heat of hydration during the curing process and from the shrinkage of the concrete. The ISFSI Facility will contain (7) pads, which will support (20) HI-Storm Storage Casks per pad. The results from this Calculation, along with the results from the seismic analysis, Calculation No. PGE-009-CALC-003, will be used in Calculation No. PGE-009-CALC-007 to evaluate the concrete per the design codes and to determine the size of steel reinforcement.


NOTE: This Calculation is furnished as part of PG&E Contract No. 4600010841, Change Order No. 001

Scope Of Revision:

Initial issue.

Revision Impact On Results:

N/A



Safety Related



Preliminary Calculation



Non-Safety Related



Final

Approvals
(Print Name and Sign)

Originator	S.C. TUMMINELLI <i>[Signature]</i>	Date September 20, 2002
Reviewer		
Verification Engineer	K.L. WHITMORE <i>[Signature]</i>	Date September 20, 2002
Approver	R.F. EVERES <i>[Signature]</i>	Date September 20, 2002



**ENGINEERING CALCULATION
REVISION STATUS SHEET**

ENERCON SERVICES, INC.

CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006

ENGINEERING CALCULATION REVISION SUMMARY

<u>REVISION NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
0	9/20/02	Initial Issue

CALCULATION SHEET REVISION STATUS

<u>SHEET NO.</u>	<u>REVISION NO.</u>	<u>SHEET NO.</u>	<u>REVISION NO.</u>
All	0	N/A	N/A

APPENDIX AND ATTACHMENT REVISION STATUS

<u>APPENDIX NUMBER</u>	<u>ISSUE DATE</u>	<u>REV. DATE</u>	<u>REISSUE DATE</u>	<u>APPENDIX NUMBER</u>	<u>ISSUE DATE</u>	<u>REV. DATE</u>	<u>REISSUE DATE</u>
TH-Loads	9/20/02			SH-Loads	9/20/02		
RPad-TH	9/20/02			RPad-SH	9/20/02		
EPad-TH	9/20/02			EPad-SH	9/20/02		
DPad-TH	9/20/02			DPad-SH	9/20/02		
SPad-TH	9/20/02			SPad-SH	9/20/02		
FPad-TH	9/20/02			FPad-SH	9/20/02		
TPad-TH	9/20/02			FTPAd-SH	9/20/02		
LSPad-TH	9/20/02						
LCPad-TH	9/20/02						
STPad-TH	9/20/02						



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 3 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO.	PGE-009
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006
ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED	R. F. Evers
REVISION	<u>0</u>

Method of Review:

The calculation has been independently reviewed in accordance with the requirements of ENERCON Corporate Standard Procedure 3.01. The independent verification of the calculation was performed by a detailed review and check of the entire calculation. This included verification of inputs, methodology, results and conclusions as well as a check of the mathematical accuracy of the computations.

Results:

The calculation has been independently verified to be mathematically correct and to be performed in accordance with license and design basis requirements and applicable codes. Inputs are appropriate and are obtained from verified source documents. The calculation is sufficiently documented and detailed to permit independent verification. No assumptions are made other than conservative simplifying assumptions which are identified and do not require confirmation. The methodology used is appropriate and consistent with the purpose of the calculation.

With the exception of the use of non-linear contact elements between the bottom of the concrete support pad and the surface of the rock, the analysis documented in this calculation is a straightforward, first order linear static analysis. The analysis is performed using ANSYS, a computer analysis code that is in widespread use throughout the nuclear industry and that is known to produce accurate results when utilized appropriately.

The use of the non-linear contact elements has been separately verified in computer verification and validation report PGE-009-VVR-003. These elements are designed to transfer compression loads from the concrete pad to the rock but to not transfer any tension loads across the surface. This allows the pad to lift free from the rock in the model if the loads and geometry indicate that liftoff should occur. As documented in PGE-009-VVR-003, these elements have been shown to produce results that are consistent with theoretical values and hand calculations. Thus, the use of these elements in the analysis produces appropriate results.

Thus, the analysis has been independently verified to be technically correct and to be consistent with license and design basis requirements. The results and conclusions accurately reflect the findings of the calculation.



ENERCON SERVICES, INC.

**CALCULATION
DESIGN VERIFICATION
CHECKLIST**

Calc. No. PGE-009-CALC-006

Rev. 0

Page 3A of 55

Title:

CHECKLIST ITEMS		YES	NO	N/A
1.	Design Inputs - Were the design inputs correctly selected, referenced (latest revision), consistent with the design basis and incorporated in the calculation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.	Assumptions – Were the assumptions reasonable and adequately described, justified and/or verified, and documented?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3.	Quality Assurance – Were the appropriate QA classification and requirements assigned to the calculation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.	Codes, Standard and Regulatory Requirements – Were the applicable codes, standards and regulatory requirements, including issue and addenda, properly identified and their requirements satisfied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.	Construction and Operating Experience – Have applicable construction and operating experience been considered?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.	Interfaces – Have the design interface requirements been satisfied, including interactions with other calculations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7.	Methods – Was the calculation methodology appropriate and properly applied to satisfy the calculation objective?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.	Design Outputs – Was the conclusion of the calculation clearly stated, did it correspond directly with the objectives and are the results reasonable compared to the inputs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9.	Radiation Exposure – Has the calculation properly considered radiation exposure to the public and plant personnel?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
10.	Acceptance Criteria – Are the acceptance criteria incorporated in the calculation sufficient to allow verification that the design requirements have been satisfactorily accomplished?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments: See reviewers comments on page 3.*(Print Name and Sign)*


Reviewer: Kenneth L. Whitmore/

Date

09/20/02

Others:

Date



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.


SHEET 4 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO.	PGE-009
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006
ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED	R. F. Evers
REVISION	0

Table of Contents

Body of Calculation

	Sheet
ISFSI Pad Shrinkage and Thermal Analysis	6
References	
Temperature Data	
Shrinkage Data	
Concrete Properties	
Material and Temperature Input Data	
Thermal Stress Analysis Considerations	
Shrinkage Stress Analysis Considerations	
Pad ANSYS Static Model Construction Description	17
Concrete Pad	
Rock	
Constraint Equations	
Contact Elements	
Material Numbers	
Boundary Conditions	
Loads and Analysis	
Analysis Results – Thermal Stress Analysis	28
Pad Response – Displacements	
Pad Response – Stresses	
Pad Response – Internal Forces	
Analysis Results – Shrinkage Stress Analysis	49
Pad Response – Displacements	
Pad Response – Stresses	
Pad Response – Internal Forces	
Summary and Conclusions	55



		SHEET	<u>5</u>	OF	<u>55</u>
JOB. NO.	PGE-009	DATE	September 20, 2002		
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI				
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses				
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP	ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli		
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore	APPROVED	R. F. Evers		
ENERCON SERVICES, INC.	CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006	REVISION	0	

Appendices:

Thermal Stress Analysis Documentation Appendices: Pages

TH-Loads	Applied loads	6
RPad-TH	ANSYS file documenting the analyses and temperatures	55
EPad-TH	ANSYS file documenting equilibrium	18
DPad-TH	ANSYS file documenting the displacements	13
SPad-TH	ANSYS file documenting the stresses	47
FPad-TH	ANSYS file documenting the internal forces	91
TPad-TH	ANSYS Temperature plots	7
LSPad-TH	ANSYS Load Step plots	8
LCPad-TH	ANSYS Load Case plots	8
STPad-TH	ANSYS Stress Plots	12

Shrinkage Stress Analysis Documentation Appendices: Pages

SH-Loads	Applied loads	2
RPad-SH	ANSYS files documenting the analyses and temperatures	11
EPad-SH	ANSYS files documenting equilibrium	6
DPad-SH	ANSYS files documenting the displacements	7
SPad-SH	ANSYS files documenting the stresses	9
FPad-SH	ANSYS files documenting the internal forces	13
FTPad-SH	ANSYS files documenting the internal forces for the top sections of the pad	25



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 6 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO.	PGE-009
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006
ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED	R. F. Evers
REVISION	<u>0</u>

ISFSI Pad Shrinkage and Thermal Analysis

The purpose of this calculation is to determine the thermal and shrinkage stresses of the ISFSI storage pad and to provide thermal and shrinkage forces and moments. These forces and moments, together with the seismic forces and moments from a previous calculation (Reference 1), will be used to demonstrate that the design is compliant with the ACI Code and to size the pad reinforcement.


This calculation follows the same general format as the seismic calculation (Reference 1) and makes extensive use of the analytical strategies presented there. Consequently, frequent references to that calculation will be made herein.

The storage pad analyzed here is 105 feet N-S by 68 feet E-W and nominally 8 feet thick. The seismic calculation analyzed a 7.5-foot thick pad. That thickness is the thinnest that the pad can be, while 8 feet is the thickest. The surface of the pad is contoured to facilitate drainage, while the bottom is at a constant elevation. In a seismic event the design relies upon the mass of the pad to hold the casks and the pad down to the rock. Hence it is appropriate to use the minimum pad thickness for the seismic analysis, since this minimizes the pad mass. The use of the maximum pad thickness in this calculation is appropriate since this maximizes the applied heat and temperatures within the pad.

The pad will be constructed using a leveling pad or "mud" mat. This "mud" mat will be an unreinforced concrete mat that will be placed upon the prepared rock to provide a level surface that will be used to construct the pad. The thickness of the mat will vary depending upon the constructor's technique to excavate the rock to a level surface. However, since the mat is not explicitly modeled, and since its properties are conservatively bounded by the properties of the rock, regardless of its thickness, the actual constructed thickness of the mat does not affect the results of this calculation.

PG&E (Reference 2) provided the shrinkage and thermal data. The temperature data provided are the internal concrete pad temperatures as a function of time that occur as the heat generated by cement hydration is dissipated through the structure to the ambient. That calculation is for a specific concrete mix as specified by PG&E, and for a pad that is cast all at once, i.e., the underlying assumption is that the 105 by 68 by 8 foot concrete pad is instantaneously installed and begins to heat due to cement hydration. The calculation assumes that all the generated heat dissipates both upward to the concrete surface and then to air, and downward to the underlying rock strata. Heat dissipated out to the sides of the concrete is not considered. However, given the shape of the pad, the heat that may dissipate from the sides will be a small portion of the total and hence negligible. The actual amount of heat that will be dissipated from the sides of the pad will be a function of the type of concrete form, steel or wood, that is used. The steel form will allow more heat dissipation than the wood form but both will have a negligible effect on the pad responses computed here, though very some local and inconsequential stresses on the edges of the pad may arise. The heating transient due to cement hydration lasts for eight days.

The shrinkage strains are a result of the moisture loss and the moisture gradient that is established in the concrete. The shrinkage process is very slow, reaching maximum shrinkage at 117 days, and therefore,



		SHEET	7	OF	55
JOB. NO.	PGE-009	DATE	September 20, 2002		
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI				
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses				
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP	ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli		
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore	APPROVED	R. F. Evers		
ENERCON SERVICES, INC.	CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006	REVISION	0	

does not occur within the same time frame as the heat up due to cement hydration. Hence, the two effects can be considered separately.

Two separate analyses are performed, one for the thermal stress analysis and one for the shrinkage stress analysis. The thermal stress analysis is performed incrementally. Incremental temperatures at selected times are derived from the pad temperatures from PG&E (Reference 2). These are applied to a finite element model and the Young's modulus of the concrete is adjusted, to account for the strength gain and gain in stiffness, for each of the selected times. The total pad response at a selected time is the sum of all the individual responses up to and including the response at that time. The shrinkage stress analysis is performed in one execution. More detail is provided below.

References

- 1 ENERCON Calculation PGE-009-CALC-003, ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Seismic Analysis, latest revision.
- 2 PG&E Calculation 52.27.100.701, ISFSI Foundation Pad – Thermal and Shrinkage Values, Rev 2, November 8, 2001
- 3 ACI 207.1R-96, Mass Concrete
- 4 ACI 207.R2-95, Effect of Restraint, Volume Change, and Reinforcement on Cracking of Mass Concrete.

Temperature Data

The pad temperatures through the thickness with respect to time were provided by PG&E (Reference 2). Numerical data is provided at the top surface (see Sheet 58, Reference 2) and in 0.5 foot increments through the pad thickness and for four feet into the rock beneath the pad, (see Table 3, Reference 2). This same data is provided graphically, see plots in Figures 5, 6 and 7 in Reference 2. These plots were examined and eleven times were selected for analysis. The times were chosen because they produce stresses that reasonably bound the maximum demand on the pad resulting from the distribution of temperatures considering the shapes of the curves relative to one another. The following times were selected and are shown in Table 1 below.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

JOB. NO.	PGE-009	SHEET	8	OF	55
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI	DATE	September 20, 2002		
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses				
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP	ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli		
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore	APPROVED	R. F. Evers		
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006	REVISION	0		

Table 1 - Selection of times for Thermal Stress Analysis

Time (days)	Discussion
0.25	Temperature 0.5 feet from the top surface departs from others
0.50	Temperature 0.5 feet from the top surface peaks
0.625	Temperature 1 foot from the top surface peaks
1.125	Temperature 2 feet from the top surface peaks
1.625	Temperature 3 feet from the top surface peaks
2.125	Temperature 4 feet from the top surface peaks. Temperatures at 6, 7 and 7.5 feet from the top surface at or near peak.
2.375	Temperature 5 feet from the top surface peaks. Temperatures at 6, 7 and 7.5 feet from the top surface at or near peak.
3.125	Temperatures 4 and 6 feet from the top surface are equal. This is transition point. Beyond this point the temperature 4 feet from the top surface is colder and is cooling more rapidly than the temperature 6 feet from the top surface.
4.125	Temperature at pad/rock interface peaks
6.125	Temperatures through the thickness are declining at the same rate (more or less)
7.875	Temperatures through the thickness are declining at the same rate (more or less)

The PG&E thermal temperature analysis (Reference 2) and, consequently, the current thermal stress analysis anticipate that the maximum pad forces and moments due to temperature will occur within the first few days of casting the pad. (This will be shown to be true.) This was based, in part, on the discussion provided in Reference 4, Chapters 2 and 2.1. It is especially true for the ISFSI pad because this pad is a high strength mass concrete structure. The concrete has a high proportion of cement and flyash. Therefore, the heat effects and resultant temperatures within the first few days of casting the pad will be the most severe thermal effects the pad will ever experience.

Table 2 provides the temperatures above ambient at the selected times from Table 3 in Reference 2. The PG&E calculation uses a differencing scheme that does not provide temperature values at every depth at every time step. Where that occurred, linear interpolation was used to compute the required value from the data at adjacent depths, holding the time constant. Interpolation from one time to another was not performed.




ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 9 OF 55
JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0

Table 2 (1/2) – Pad Temperatures Above Ambient (Degrees F) vs. Time

Elevation*	Depth*	Time (days)					
		0.25	0.50	0.625	1.125	1.625	2.125
8	0.0	15.00	12.50	9.50	4.10	2.00	1.20
7.5	0.5	18.00	28.50	27.15	19.71	14.21	11.15
7	1.0	19.67	28.92	38.24	29.43	22.97	18.91
6.5	1.5	21.33	29.33	42.79	39.69	34.96	31.00
6	2.0	21.33	38.00	47.33	49.95	46.95	43.08
5	3.0	21.33	46.66	55.99	70.99	73.24	71.02
4	4.0	21.33	46.66	55.99	76.10	83.42	84.38
3	5.0	21.33	46.66	55.99	76.31	84.76	87.05
2	6.0	21.33	46.45	55.78	73.64	79.96	81.49
1	7.0	20.50	41.91	51.24	63.12	66.86	67.77
0.5	7.5	19.66	37.58	39.62	50.73	55.18	57.05
0.0	8.0	10.67	23.33	28.00	38.33	43.49	46.32
-0.5	8.5	1.67	9.08	16.38	25.93	31.81	35.59
-1	9.0	0.84	4.75	4.75	13.53	20.13	24.85
-2	10.0	0	0.21	0.21	3.02	7.00	10.82
-3	11.0	0	0	0	0.35	1.73	3.71
-4	12.0	0	0	0	0.01	0.26	0.79

* See notes after Table 2 (2/2).




**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

JOB. NO.	PGE-009	SHEET	10	OF	55
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI	DATE	September 20, 2002		
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses				
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP	ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli		
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore	APPROVED	R. F. Evers		
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006				
			REVISION 0		

Table 2 (2/2) – Pad Temperatures Above Ambient (Degrees F) vs. Time

Elevation ^{1,3}	Depth ^{2,3}	Time (days)				
		2.375	3.125	4.125	6.125	7.875
8	0.0	1.00	0.50	0.20	0.20	0.20
7.5	0.5	10.21	8.08	6.05	4.67	3.98
7	1.0	17.63	14.56	11.42	8.81	7.48
6.5	1.5	29.53	25.63	21.14	16.38	13.87
6	2.0	41.43	36.69	30.85	23.94	20.25
5	3.0	69.51	63.91	55.57	43.79	37.21
4	4.0	83.84	79.64	71.29	57.64	49.52
3	5.0	87.13	84.41	77.30	64.53	56.43
2	6.0	81.58	79.73	74.40	64.58	57.83
1	7.0	67.97	67.31	64.40	58.80	54.24
0.5	7.5	57.68	58.32	57.13	53.77	50.39
0.0	8.0	47.38	49.32	49.86	48.74	46.54
-0.5	8.5	37.05	40.15	42.13	42.79	41.56
-1	9.0	26.71	30.97	34.40	36.83	36.58
-2	10.0	12.55	16.94	21.12	25.18	26.03
-3	11.0	4.76	7.76	10.95	14.47	15.48
-4	12.0	1.12	2.15	3.33	4.70	5.13

1. Elevation 0 is the pad/rock interface. Thus, temperatures for elevations below 0 are for the rock. These temperatures were input to the analysis along with a conservatively chosen value for the coefficient of thermal expansion for the rock, i.e., a low value.
2. Depth is the depth below the top surface of the pad.
3. The data in Reference 2 is presented in two ways. The first is in Table 3 where the elevation is referred to as "Depth,ft". This correlates to the Elevation column here. The second is in Figures 5, 6 and 7, where the data is presented in "ft from Top Surface". This is the Depth column here.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 11 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP **ORIGINATOR** S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore **APPROVED** R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 **REVISION** 0

Shrinkage Data

Shrinkage data is provided in the form of microstrain through the pad thickness, see Reference 2 Sheet 52. Rather than revise various analytical input mechanisms, the shrinkage strains were converted to temperatures (see Table 3) through the use of the following equivalency:

$$\epsilon_{sh} = \alpha \Delta T$$

where: ϵ_{sh} is the specified shrinkage strain


α is the material coefficient of expansion = 5.18E-6 in/in/degree F

ΔT is the temperature that produces the equivalent shrinkage strain

Table 3 – Applied Equivalent Shrinkage Temperatures (Degrees F)

Elevation *	Equivalency Calculation	
	Specified Shrinkage Micro Strain	Equivalent Temperature (Degrees F)
8	463	-89.38
7.5	128	-24.71
7	35	-6.76
6.5	2.2	-0.42
6	-12	2.32
5	-23	4.44
4	-25	4.83
3	-25	4.83
2	-25	4.83
1	-25	4.83
0.5	-25	4.83
0.0	-25	4.83

* Elevation 0 is the pad/rock interface




		SHEET	12	OF	55
	JOB. NO.	PGE-009		DATE	September 20, 2002
	PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI			
	SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses			
	CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP	ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli	
	REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore	APPROVED	R. F. Evers	
ENERCON SERVICES, INC.	CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006		REVISION	0

Concrete Properties

As the concrete cures, the strength and consequently, Young's modulus, increase. PG&E provided the relationship of concrete strength with respect to time, see Reference 2, Sheet 49. The Young's moduli used in the analysis are based upon the Mix Design, which is expected to bound the values that will be produced in the structure. Table 4 provides the moduli used in the thermal stress analysis.

The 90-day Mix Design strength is 5600 psi, which results in a Young's modulus of 4.265E6 psi. Following the guidance in Section 3.4.2 of Reference 3, 2/3 of this value = 2.844E6 psi is used in the shrinkage stress analysis to account for the effects of creep.



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.


SHEET 13 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO.	PGE-009
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006
ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED	R. F. Evers
REVISION	0

Table 4 – Concrete Strength and Young's Moduli

Time (days)	Specified f'_c	Mix Design f'_c	$E = 57000\sqrt{f'_c}$
0.25*	234	262.5	0.924E6
0.50*	469	525	1.306E6
0.625	586	656	1.460E6
0.75*	703	787.5	
1.00*	937	1050	
1.125	1054	1187	1.964E6
1.625	1523	1712	2.358E6
2.00*	1875	2100	
2.125	1961	2200	2.674E6
2.375	2133	2393	2.788E6
3.00*	2562	2870	
3.125	2604	2919	3.080E6
4.125	2939	3294	3.271E6
5.00*	3232	3620	
6.125	3448	3863	3.543E6
7.00*	3616	4050	
7.875	3699	4143	3.669E6
10.00*	3902	4370	
90.00*	5000	5600	

* Values for specified and mix design f'_c from Reference 2. The other values are obtained by linear interpolation.



JOB. NO.	PGE-009	SHEET	14	OF	55
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI	DATE	September 20, 2002		
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses				
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP	ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli		
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore	APPROVED	R. F. Evers		
ENERCON SERVICES, INC.	CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006	REVISION	0	

Material and Temperature Input Data

Table 5 below provides the material and temperature data (function of elevation) for analysis. The Young's moduli are taken from Table 4. The delta temperatures are the differences in the temperatures (taken from Table 2) computed from time j-1 to j.

Table 5 (1/2) – Analysis Parameters, and Applied Delta Temperatures (F) Vs Elevation

Elevation	Time (days)					
	0.25	0.50	0.625	1.125	1.625	2.125
Ex →	0.924E6	1.306E6	1.460E6	1.964E6	2.358E6	2.674E6
Mat No. →	2	3	4	5	6	7
Load Step →	1	2	3	4	5	6
8	15.00	-2.50	-3.00	-5.40	-2.10	-0.80
7.5	18.00	10.50	-1.35	-7.44	-5.50	-3.06
7	19.67	9.25	9.32	-8.81	-6.46	-4.06
6.5	21.33	8.00	13.46	-3.10	-4.73	-3.96
6	21.33	16.67	9.33	2.62	-3.00	-3.87
5	21.33	25.33	9.33	15.00	2.25	-2.22
4	21.33	25.33	9.33	20.11	7.32	0.96
3	21.33	25.33	9.33	20.32	8.45	2.29
2	21.33	25.12	9.33	17.86	6.32	1.53
1	20.50	21.41	9.33	11.88	3.74	0.91
0.5	19.66	17.92	2.04	11.11	4.45	1.87
0.0	10.67	12.66	4.67	10.33	5.16	2.83
-0.5	1.67	7.41	7.30	9.55	5.88	3.78
-1	0.84	3.91	0	8.78	6.60	4.72
-2	0	0.21	0	2.81	3.98	3.82
-3	0	0	0	0.35	1.38	1.98
-4	0	0	0	0.01	0.25	0.53



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

JOB. NO. PGE-009 **DATE** September 20, 2002
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP **ORIGINATOR** S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore **APPROVED** R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 **REVISION** 0

Table 5 (2/2) – Analysis Parameters, and Applied Delta Temperatures (F) Vs Elevation

Elevation	Day				
	2.375	3.125	4.125	6.125	7.875
Ex →	2.788E6	3.080E6	3.271E6	3.543E6	3.669E6
Mat. No. →	8	9	10	11	12
Load Step →	7	8	9	10	11
8	-0.20	-0.50	-0.30	0	0
7.5	-0.94	-2.13	-2.03	-1.38	-0.69
7	-1.28	-3.07	-3.14	-2.61	-1.33
6.5	-1.47	-3.90	-4.49	-4.76	-2.51
6	-1.65	-4.74	-5.84	-6.91	-3.69
5	-1.51	-5.60	-8.34	-11.78	-6.58
4	-0.54	-4.20	-8.35	-13.65	-8.12
3	0.08	-2.72	-7.11	-12.77	-8.10
2	0.09	-1.85	-5.33	-9.82	-6.75
1	0.20	-0.66	-2.91	-5.60	-4.56
0.5	0.63	0.64	-1.19	-3.36	-3.38
0.0	1.06	1.94	0.54	-1.12	-2.20
-0.5	1.46	3.10	1.98	0.66	-1.23
-1	1.86	4.26	3.43	2.43	-0.25
-2	1.73	4.39	4.18	4.06	0.85
-3	1.05	3.00	3.19	3.52	1.01
-4	0.33	1.03	1.18	1.37	0.43

The coefficient of thermal expansion is 5.18E-6 in/in/degree F, (Reference 2, Sheet 50). The Poisson's ratio is 0.15.



SHEET 16 OF 55
JOB. NO. PGE-009 DATE September 20, 2002
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
SERVICES, INC. CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0

Thermal Stress Analysis Considerations

Regardless of the actual temperature distribution in the pad, since the pad sits on a rock base, that base will restrain the pad from free axial growth. As shown in Figure 1, the restraining force will produce a negative moment (tension on the top) in the pad. This will tend to lift the pad from the rock thereby altering the uniform dead weight reaction force that would otherwise occur. (The reaction distribution shown in the Figure is one of many that could exist.) This in turn will produce positive moment in the pad, relieving the stresses caused by the restraint of the rock.

Creep, particularly in the first few days after casting, will allow the pad to "settle" down on to the rock thereby reducing the positive moment. Creep will also relieve the applied temperature stresses at the same time. Hence, from an energy perspective on the pad, with the temperatures and dead weight acting at the same time, the effects of creep will be to reduce the net stresses.




Figure 1 – Dead Weight Reaction Distribution vs. Thermal Displacement

Thus the dead weight can be included in the calculation of the total stress. The analysis model includes contact compression only gap elements between the top of the rock and the bottom of the pad. The model relies upon a net vertical downward load to maintain numerical stability during the solution process. The analysis for the thermal case uses eleven separate time steps (see discussion below) with incremental temperatures applied to the model with eleven different values for Young's modulus to model the effects of the concrete hardening with time. The analysis used 90% of the dead weight of the concrete (90% of 150 pcf) in the first time step and 0.9% of the dead weight in each of the subsequent ten steps. The displacements are then monitored for the summed steps to insure that the pad is always separated from the rock at the centerline of the pad, thereby maintaining the full effects of the offsetting deadweight moments in the analysis.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

JOB. NO.	PGE-009	SHEET	17	OF	55
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI	DATE	September 20, 2002		
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses				
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP	ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli		
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore	APPROVED	R. F. Evers		
	CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006	REVISION	0	

It is recognized that summing individual nonlinear analytical results is not, in the strictest sense, theoretically correct. However, given that the bulk (90%) of the dead weight is included in the first load step, and that the subsequent load steps are for essentially temperature loads only, the additional forces and moments added to this first load step are conservatively computed. This is because the compliance of a stiffer (harder) concrete pad with the rock would have the pad migrating the CG of the dead weight reaction forces toward the edges of the pad, generating more offsetting deadweight moment than is computed here (creep ignored). Thus, though the specific sums of displacements and moments etc. may not be exactly theoretically correct as in an analysis that could actually invoke a creep law, if one were known, the results here, are conservative.

Shrinkage Stress Analysis Considerations

Shrinkage of the pad is significant for the top one foot of concrete. This shrinkage causes tensile stresses to occur on the top surface. These stresses will curl the corners of the pad and cause the pad to lift off the rock at the corners. The pad dead weight tries to keep the pad corners down on the rock causing additional tensile stresses on the pad top surface.

Pad ANSYS Static Model Construction Description

Since all loads are symmetric and since the pad is symmetric, only $\frac{1}{4}$ of the pad and confining rock is modeled. The static model is a solid finite element model designed to maximize the confinement that the rock has on the pad. This technique reasonably maximizes the rock's resistance to the pad's shrinkage and expansion, and therefore provides conservative results. The rock is modeled up to the level of the pad surface, and for a sufficient distance in all directions to accurately capture the behavior of the pad.

As in the seismic calculation, rotations of the nodes are not included in the solution. Also, as in the seismic calculation, the model is constructed in the Cartesian coordinate system with X pointing West, Y pointing up and Z pointing North.

The analysis for temperature is incremental wherein the temperature through the thickness and Young's modulus are varied with time, in eleven time steps, to model the heat up as well as the effects of strength gain, i.e., gain in Young's modulus, of the concrete. The analysis for shrinkage is performed in one step where both the equivalent shrinkage temperatures and the dead weight of the pad are applied. Since this model contains contact elements, these analyses are nonlinear and iterative.

The geometry of the pad and rock is shown in Figure 2. The completed model with the finite element mesh is shown in Figure 3. All plots presented are taken from the same viewpoint as that shown in Figures 2 and 3. The viewing direction is X = -1, Y = 0.5, and Z = -1, i.e., looking slightly down and from the pad centerline toward the far corner. Occasionally, the viewing direction will be changed to show pertinent detail. Unless the change in view direction is obvious, it will be noted.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

JOB. NO. PGE-009 SHEET 18 OF 55
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI DATE September 20, 2002
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0




Figure 2 – Basic Pad/Rock Geometry





Figure 3 – Finite Element Mesh

C01



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 19 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO.	PGE-009
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006
ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED	R. F. Evers
REVISION	0

Concrete Pad

The concrete pad is modeled with Element Type 2. Element Type 2 is the ANSYS SOLID45 8-noded brick structural solid element. All features invoked in the seismic analysis are invoked here. The material properties are varied with time in that they are modified at each of the eleven load steps.

The pad is modeled 8 feet thick with the thickness of the elements modeled to match the locations where the temperatures are provided. Hence the 8-foot pad is segmented into element layers with the elevations shown in Table 5. In plan, the mesh is designed to match the 17 foot segments and the 10 foot end segments modeled in the seismic calculation.

The pad geometry is shown in Figure 4 below.





Figure 4 – Pad Mesh

C02



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 20 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO.	PGE-009	
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI	
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses	
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP	ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore	APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006		REVISION 0

Rock

The rock is modeled with Element Type 1. Element Type 1 is the ANSYS SOLID45 8-noded brick structural solid element. All features invoked in the seismic analysis are invoked here. The rock mass is modeled to 81 feet 4 inches in the X direction, 124 feet 6 inches in the Z direction and 62 feet beneath the pad. Further, a 2-foot gap is provided around the pad to allow for some consideration for construction access. This gap is considered to be a minimum distance that will be required to install the pad. Larger gaps will provide less restraint and hence lower stresses. The element mesh is designed to mate with the pad, and to provide constraint on the boundaries of the pad model. The rock portion of the model is shown in Figure 5 below. A close up of the two-foot gap is provided in Figure 6.





Figure 5 – Rock Mesh

C03



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 21 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO. PGE-009 **PROJECT** DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP **ORIGINATOR** S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore **APPROVED** R. F. Evers

CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 **REVISION** 0




Figure 6 – Close Up of the Two-Foot Gap

The rock is designated as Material Type 1; is assumed to be homogeneous and its properties are not varied with time. The hard rock as described in the seismic report is used for this analysis. This is the stiffest rock that is reasonably expected to exist beneath the pad to an extent that it could affect the thermal response. The stiffest rock is the only rock that needs to be considered since it provides the greatest restraint to the pad. The pad stresses analyzed with the soft rock will be lower than those analyzed with the hard rock.

The PG&E temperature calculation provides some modest heat up of the rock directly beneath the pad. A literature search on the Internet provided some values for the coefficients of thermal expansion. The lower bound of these values is the conservative value to use, since this results in the lowest rock thermal expansion, and hence the greatest restraint to the pad. A coefficient of thermal expansion of $5\text{E-}6$ in/in/degree F is specified for the sandstone (soft rock), taken from the Stone University at www.coldspringgranite.com, and 8.3×10^{-6} in/in/degree F ($= 15 \times 10^{-6}$ in/in/degree K/1.8) is used for the dolomite (hard rock), taken from www.ima-eu.org. Combining properties to arrive at a conservative set to use for analysis, the following values were used for the hard rock (see Table 6). Since the coefficient of thermal expansion of the concrete "mud" mat will be higher than 5.0×10^{-6} , the value in Table 6 is conservative, regardless of the final thickness of the "mud" mat since the lower bound is the conservative value to use. Also, since the "mud" mat will be relatively thin and unreinforced, adjusting the Young's Modulus is not justifiable.

CO4



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 22 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006
ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED R. F. Evers
REVISION 0

Table 6 - Rock Properties Used for Analysis

Rock	Young's Modulus	Poisson's Ratio	Coefficient of Thermal Expansion
Dolomite – Hard	2.0×10^6	0.24	5.0×10^{-6}

Constraint Equations

The pad model is generated completely separate from the rock model. The pad and rock models have coincident nodes at the bottom of the pad and at the top of the rock beneath the pad. These nodes are then stitched together in a manner that allows the pad to push/pull horizontally on the rock mass but does not allow the resulting curvature to affect the vertical stresses between the pad and the rock. The possibility that the pad and/or mud mat will not remain bonded to the rock, or will creep, will be addressed in subsequent calculations. These constraint equations act in combination with the contact elements described below.

Since the nodes are coincident, stitching them together simply produces continuity without any internal moments to consider. Thus, interior to the pad, all the nodes at the bottom of the pad and the top of the rock are stitched in the X and Z directions. Along the edge in the XY plane of symmetry, all nodes are stitched in the X direction, and along the edge in the YZ plane of symmetry, all nodes are stitched in the Z direction. See Figures 7, 8 and 9.





Figure 7 – Pad/Rock Constraints

CO5



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 23 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0




Figure 8 – Close Up of Pad/Rock Constraints on the Rock




Figure 9 – Pad/Rock Constraints on the Pad – Looking Up

C06



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

JOB. NO. PGE-009 SHEET 24 OF 55
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI DATE September 20, 2002
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0

Contact Elements

The analysis model has a set of contact elements between the bottom of the pad and the top of the rock. The ANSYS contact elements are described in some detail in Reference 1. In this analysis, the CONTA174 is used on the bottom of the pad and the TARGE170 is used on the top of the rock. Since both surfaces have the same mesh refinement and have the same stiffness, the use of these elements on these surfaces is appropriate. See Figure 10.




Figure 10 – Pad/Rock Contact Elements

Material Numbers

The completed model with the material numbers is shown in Figure 11. The concrete pad material numbers are varied throughout the analysis. Material number 2 is the initial material number for the pad.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

JOB. NO. PGE-009 SHEET 25 OF 55
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI DATE September 20, 2002
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0




Figure 11 – Material Numbers

Boundary Conditions

The boundary conditions are as follows:

XY plane – Symmetrical BC's on the pad and the rock

YZ plane – Symmetrical BC's on the pad and the rock

X edge of the rock mass at $X = 81' - 4''$ – X and Y displacements set to zero

Z edge of the rock mass at $Z = 124' - 6''$ – Y and Z displacement set to zero

Bottom of the rock mass – X, Y and Z displacement set to zero

These Boundary Conditions are conservative in that they prescribe zero displacements and therefore provide maximum reasonable constraint to the pad.

Plots of the Boundary Conditions are shown in Figures 12 and 13 below.

C08



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

JOB. NO. PGE-009 SHEET 26 OF 55
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI DATE September 20, 2002
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0




Figure 12 – Boundary Conditions – Looking Down





Figure 13 – Boundary Conditions

C09



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 27 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO.	PGE-009
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006
ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED	R. F. Evers
REVISION	0

Loads and Analysis

The thermal stress analysis is conducted using eleven Load Steps. The delta temperatures shown in Table 5 are applied and the pad material properties are specified for each Load Step. In Load Step 1, 90% of the pad dead weight is applied, and 0.9% of the pad dead weight is applied in each of the ten subsequent Load Steps to maintain numerical stability. (Concrete density was set to 135 pcf (90% of 150) and the vertical acceleration for the first load step set to 1.0G, and 0.01G for each of the ten subsequent load steps.) The results, from each Load Step analysis, are a complete set of pad/rock responses, i.e., reactions, displacements, stresses etc. The results for each time are the algebraic sum of the individual responses to that time. These are summed and stored as Load Cases. As an example, the pad response at 2.125 days is Load Case 6 and it is the sum of Load Steps 1 through 6. As a check, the delta temperatures and summed temperatures are output to provide a means to check the input data back to the source.

The ANSYS output file that documents the generation of the loads for each load step is provided in Appendix TH-Loads. Plots of the summed applied temperatures are provided in Appendix TPad-TH. One plot from the Appendix for Load Case 7 is shown in Figure 14. The ANSYS output file that documents the analysis together with the output delta temperatures and summed temperatures is provided in Appendix RPad-TH. A comparison of the output temperatures with the temperatures in Tables 2 and 5 show them to be the same. Each summed Load Case is then checked for equilibrium. The output file that documents this check for equilibrium is provided in Appendix EPad-TH. This data demonstrates that the overall equilibrium for the rock and the pad is satisfied.

The shrinkage stress analysis is conducted in one load step. The equivalent shrinkage temperatures from Table 3 are applied along with the acceleration due to gravity. The concrete density was set to the full 150 pcf and the vertical acceleration to 1.0G. The ANSYS output file that documents the generation of the loads is provided in Appendix SH-Loads. The ANSYS output file that documents the analysis together with the output equivalent temperatures is provided in Appendix RPad-SH. A comparison of the output temperatures with the temperatures in Table 3 shows them to be the same. The analysis is then checked for equilibrium. The output file that documents this check for equilibrium is provided in Appendix EPad-SH. This data demonstrates that the overall equilibrium for the rock and the pad is satisfied. The quarter model of the pad weighs $(52.5 \times 34 \times 8 \times 150) = 2,142,000$ lbs.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

JOB. NO.	PGE-009	SHEET	28	OF	55
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI	DATE	September 20, 2002		
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses				
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP	ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli		
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore	APPROVED	R. F. Evers		
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006	REVISION	0		

Analysis Results – Thermal Stress Analysis

Since Load Case 7, Pad Response at 2.375 days, results in the highest set of applied moments (see Table 9 below), plots for this Load Case will be used to show response. The other Load Cases all have the same general response shape. The difference is in the magnitude. A plot of the applied summed temperatures is shown in Figure 14. Plots for all Load Cases are in Appendix TPad-TH and the numerical results are in Appendix RPad-TH.




Figure 14 – Applied Temperatures at 2.375 days

C10




		SHEET	29	OF	55
JOB. NO.	PGE-009	DATE	September 20, 2002		
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI				
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses				
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP	ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli		
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore	APPROVED	R. F. Evers		
ENERCON SERVICES, INC.	CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006	REVISION	0	

Pad Response – Displacements

The displacements for the individual Load Steps are shown in Appendix LSPad-TH. There are three different displaced shapes. The first is shown in Figures LS-1 and LS-1A. The deadweight in this analysis is not enough to overcome the arching action caused by the restraining forces due to net thermal expansion of the pad (see Figure LS-1). However, enough deadweight is acting to force the pad down on to the rock at its edges, Figure LS-1A. And, the deadweight does affect the displaced shape. The maximum up deflection is not at the centerline of the pad. The second shape is shown in Figures LS-2 through LS-4. In these analyses, the restraining forces cause arching action, as in LS-1, but since the deadweight is very small, the pad arches up in two directions and is only supported at its corners, Figure LS-2A. The third shape is shown in Figures LS-5 to LS-11. In these analyses, the net thermal expansion is not enough to develop restraining forces of sufficient magnitude to overcome the applied temperature upward curvatures. In these cases, the deadweight stresses add to the applied net thermal stresses, i.e., tension on the pad top, but since the deadweight is small its effect is also small.

The summed displacements of the pad for all the Load Cases are plotted in Appendix LCPad-TH to give some sense of expected deformations. The summed displacements at the pad centerline are computed in Appendix DPad-TH. To compare them to acceptable deflections, see Table 7. However, since the analysis is piecewise and sums separate nonlinear analyses, the summed displacements are not analytically exact. However, they do provide a reasonable sense of the pad's total deformation. Table 7 provides displacements for the bottom of the pad (node 113) and the top of the rock (node 133) both at the centerline of the pad. Plots of the pad vertical displacements for Load Cases 1, 2 and 7 are shown in Figures 15, 16 and 17.

The displaced shape for Load Case 1, Figure 15, shows that the dead weight is not enough to force the pad down to the rock at its centerline. However, it does alter the arching action shape. The shape for Load Case 2, Figure 16, shows that the influence of the dead weight is reduced and the arching action dominates the response. In Load Case 7, Figure 7, arching action still dominates even though three Load Steps (5 to 7) were added. In each of these three Load Steps, as discussed before, the restraining forces are not enough to force arching action. However, the magnitudes of the forces involved are not enough to alter the arching action established by the summation of Load Steps 1 through 4. The pad appears to "float" owing to the addition of the vertical displacements at the pad edges from Load Steps 5 through 11.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.


SHEET 30 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0

Table 7 – Analytical Deflections (inches) Vs Time

Time (days)	Load Case	Pad Bot δ_y ₁₁₃	Rock Top δ_y ₁₃₃
0.25	1	0.824E-2	0.222E-2
0.50	2	0.348	0.425E-2
0.625	3	0.499	0.516E-2
1.125	4	0.596	0.824E-2
1.625	5	0.598	0.104E-1
2.125	6	0.600	0.116E-1
2.375	7	0.600	0.121E-1
3.125	8	0.601	0.132E-1
4.125	9	0.602	0.138E-1
6.125	10	0.602	0.138E-1
7.875	11	0.601	0.128E-1

The single largest effect not included in the analyses is the effect of creep. Creep will reduce all the calculated deflections and stresses. Hence the expected deformations in the field are much less than these calculated values. Nevertheless, an often used value for deflections due to dead weight is $1/320 = 105 \times 12 / 320 = 3.9$ inches. See, for example, ACI 349-97, Table 9.5(a). As the data demonstrates, even these computed deflections are not severe.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 31 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO.	PGE-009
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006
ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED	R. F. Evers
REVISION	0




Figure 15 – Pad Vertical Analytical Displacements at 0.25 Days (same as Figure LC-1)





Figure 16 – Pad Vertical Analytical Displacements at 0.5 Days (same as Figure LC-2)



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 32 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO.	PGE-009
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006
ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED	R. F. Evers
REVISION	0





Figure 17 – Pad Vertical Analytical Displacements at 2.375 days (same as Figure LC-7)

C12



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.


SHEET 33 OF 55
JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006
DATE September 20, 2002
ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED R. F. Evers
REVISION 0

Pad Response – Stresses

Pad stresses are plotted for Load Case 7 in Figures 18 and 19. The SX and SZ tensile stresses occur over nearly the entire top surface of the pad. A complete set of plots for all Load Cases is provided in Appendix STPad-TH. All the plots indicate roughly the same shape of stress field within the pad. This is a biaxial (X-Z) tension stress field at the top surface, and a maximum biaxial (X-Z) compression stress field just below the mid-height of the pad, and a biaxial compression stress field at the bottom of the pad.

Pad stresses are provided in Table 8 to give a sense of the level of expected cracking. The values are the highest tensile and compressive stresses in both the X and Z directions, the maximum tensile principal stress $\sigma_1 \text{ Max}$, and the minimum compressive principal stress $\sigma_3 \text{ Min}$. The concrete compressive and tensile strengths are derived from the Specified f'_c from Table 4. The ANSYS output file that documents the post processing for these stresses is provided in Appendix SPad-TH.

The stresses indicate that in the absence of creep, cracking would be expected to occur. However, the data also shows that significant creep and consequent reduction of these stresses will occur. The values of $\sigma_3 \text{ Min}$ in Load Cases 1 to 3 greatly exceed the expected f'_c . The σ_3 is plotted in Figure 20 for Load Case 3 and again in Figure 21 for Load Case 7. The extent of the stress field is very local and confined to the pad corner throughout the curing heat up. The σ_1 is plotted in Figure 22 for Load Case 3 and Figure 23 for Load Case 7. These show that the σ_1 is not local and the extent of expected cracking, and therefore, required crack control reinforcement is significant.




ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 34 OF 55
JOB. NO. PGE-009 DATE September 20, 2002
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0

Table 8 – Pad Stresses (psi) Vs Time

Time (days)	Load Case	σ_x Max	σ_x Min	σ_z Max	σ_z Min	σ_1 Max	σ_3 Min	f'_c	f_t^*
0.25	1	36	-123	35	-117	49	-464	234	102
0.50	2	229	-242	236	-256	247	-613	469	145
0.625	3	351	-300	360	-313	371	-701	586	162
1.125	4	504	-470	511	-493	518	-909	1054	218
1.625	5	525	-568	537	-594	540	-1005	1523	261
2.125	6	488	-616	504	-644	514	-1051	1961	297
2.375	7	465	-624	482	-653	516	-1064	2133	309
3.125	8	379	-607	392	-636	478	-1072	2604	342
4.125	9	274	-526	285	-554	368	-1027	2939	363
6.125	10	130	-464	133	-498	150	-904	3448	393
7.875	11	75	-443	70	-470	84	-803	3699	407

$$*f_t = 6.7 \sqrt{f'_c}$$



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 35 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO.	PGE-009
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006
ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED	R. F. Evers
REVISION	<u>0</u>




Figure 18 – Pad X Direction Stresses at 2.375 days




Figure 19 – Pad Z Direction Stresses at 2.375 days

C13



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

JOB. NO. PGE-009 SHEET 36 OF 55
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI DATE September 20, 2002
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0




Figure 20 – Pad S3 Stresses – Load Case 3 - Viewing Direction is from -1,-0.5,-1





Figure 21 – Pad S3 Stresses – Load Case 7 - Viewing Direction is from -1,-0.5,-1

C14



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

JOB. NO. PGE-009 SHEET 37 OF 55
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI DATE September 20, 2002
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0




Figure 22 – Pad S1 Stresses – Load Case 3





Figure 23 – Pad S1 Stresses – Load Case 7



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 38 OF 55
 DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO.	PGE-009
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006
ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED	R. F. Evers
REVISION	<u>0</u>

Pad Response – Internal Forces

The pad internal forces and moments will be used to size reinforcement and assess the level of expected cracking. The pad was divided into strips as discussed in Reference 1. Since the model here is only $\frac{1}{4}$ of the model used in the seismic analysis, modeled in the +X, +Z quadrant, the centerlines of this model lie at lines 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ and C of the seismic model, see Figure 29, Reference 1. Since all the maximum stresses occur at the planes of symmetry, or nearly so, only the internal forces on lines 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ and C are computed. (Though the Mx symbol does not appear at the edges in all the plots in Appendix STPad-TH, the stress contour maps indicate that the stresses are very close to the maxima at the edges.) The sign convention for these forces is also discussed in Reference 1. In this analysis, lines 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ and C are the “last section” as described in Reference 1. The forces are provided in Table 9. The ANSYS output file documenting the post processing of these values is provided in Appendix FPad-TH. The values from the model are provided as well as the Design Values to be used for sizing the reinforcement.

Table 9 (1/11)- Load Case 1 - Time 0.25 days

Z Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line 5 $\frac{1}{2}$

Force/ Moment	Strip		Design Values*
	C-D	D-E	
Fy	-18755	-22048	22048
Fz	-2078832	-1982198	2078832
Mx	-1793625	1003623	1793625


X Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line C

Force/ Moment	Strip				Design Values**
	5 $\frac{1}{2}$ - 6	6-7	7-8	8-10	
Fx	-905053	-1781997	-1728982	-987290	1678393
Fy	-4617	-10354	-12857	-6861	12857
Mz	109421	-502375	-2079998	-2053499	3490948

* Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

** Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

Normalization to a 17-foot wide strip has been performed. Values for strip 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ - 6 factored by 2, and values for strip 8-10 factored by 1.7.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 39 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP **ORIGINATOR** S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore **APPROVED** R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 **REVISION** 0

Table 9 (2/11) - Load Case 2 - Time 0.50 days

Z Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line 5 ½

Force/ Moment	Strip		Design Values*
	C-D	D-E	
Fy	-18871	-21932	21932
Fz	-3128490	-3005009	3005009
Mx	0.458E08	0.471E08	-0.471E08

X Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line C

Force/ Moment	Strip				Design Values**
	5 ½ - 6	6-7	7-8	8-10	
Fx	-1403379	-2780583	-2732894	-1557871	2732894
Fy	-4698	-10515	-13007	-6470	13007
Mz	-0.234E08	-0.475E08	-0.490E08	-0.284E08	0.490E08

* Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

** Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

Normalization to a 17-foot wide strip has been performed. Values for strip 5 ½ - 6 factored by 2, and values for strip 8-10 factored by 1.7.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 40 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0

Table 9 (3/11) - Load Case 3 - Time 0.625 days

Z Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line 5 ½

Force/ Moment	Strip		Design Values*
	C-D	D-E	
Fy	-18977	-21826	21826
Fz	-3537558	-3405193	3405193
Mx	0.626E08	0.633E08	-0.633E08


X Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line C

Force/ Moment	Strip				Design Values**
	5 ½ - 6	6-7	7-8	8-10	
Fx	-1587648	-3151194	-3109534	-1773660	3109534
Fy	-4785	-10678	-13119	-6107	13119
Mz	-0.318E08	-0.643E08	-0.657E08	-0.377E08	0.657E08

* Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

** Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

Normalization to a 17-foot wide strip has been performed. Values for strip 5 ½ - 6 factored by 2, and values for strip 8-10 factored by 1.7.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 41 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0

Table 9 (4/11) - Load Case 4 - Time 1.125 days

Z Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line 5 ½

Force/ Moment	Strip		Design Values*
	C-D	D-E	
Fy	-19111	-21692	21692
Fz	-4816988	-4648875	4816988
Mx	0.121E09	0.120E09	-0.121E09


X Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line C

Force/ Moment	Strip				Design Values**
	5 ½ - 6	6-7	7-8	8-10	
Fx	-2189505	-4356669	-4319608	-2460584	4319608
Fy	-4856	-10832	-13319	-5682	13319
Mz	-0.602E08	-0.121E09	-0.123E09	-0.700E08	0.123E09

* Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

** Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

Normalization to a 17-foot wide strip has been performed. Values for strip 5 ½ - 6 factored by 2, and values for strip 8-10 factored by 1.7.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 42 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0

Table 9 (5/11) - Load Case 5 - Time 1.625 days

Z Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line 5 ½

Force/ Moment	Strip		Design Values*
	C-D	D-E	
Fy	-19734	-22181	22181
Fz	-5390017	-5205515	5390017
Mx	0.151E09	0.148E09	-0.151E09


X Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line C

Force/ Moment	Strip				Design Values**
	5 ½ - 6	6-7	7-8	8-10	
Fx	-2463210	-4907754	-4877105	-2777689	4877105
Fy	-7702	-15932	-14180	-5871	15932
Mz	-0.743E08	-0.149E09	-0.150E09	-0.852E08	0.150E09

* Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

** Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

Normalization to a 17-foot wide strip has been performed. Values for strip 5 ½ - 6 factored by 2, and values for strip 8-10 factored by 1.7.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 43 OF 55
JOB. NO. PGE-009 DATE September 20, 2002
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0

Table 9 (6/11) - Load Case 6 - Time 2.125 days

Z Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line 5 ½

Force/ Moment	Strip		Design Values*
	C-D	D-E	
Fy	-24522	-22924	24522
Fz	-5574946	-5388926	5574946
Mx	0.163E09	0.159E09	-0.163E09


X Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line C

Force/ Moment	Strip				Design Values**
	5 ½ - 6	6-7	7-8	8-10	
Fx	-2552628	-5092094	-5070757	-2889199	5070757
Fy	-13915	-17643	-14699	-6068	27830
Mz	-0.800E08	-0.159E09	-0.160E09	-0.907E08	0.160E09

* Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

** Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

Normalization to a 17-foot wide strip has been performed. Values for strip 5 ½ - 6 factored by 2, and values for strip 8-10 factored by 1.7.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 44 OF 55
 DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO.	PGE-009
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006
ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED	R. F. Evers
REVISION	0

Table 9 (7/11) - Load Case 7 - Time 2.375 days

Z Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line 5 ½

Force/ Moment	Strip		Design Values*
	C-D	D-E	
Fy	-28239	-23677	28239
Fz	-5581821	-5399212	5581821
Mx	0.166E09	0.162E09	-0.166E09


X Strips – Internal Forces (lbs. And in.-lbs.) – Line C

Force/ Moment	Strip				Design Values**
	5 ½ - 6	6-7	7-8	8-10	
Fx	-2559557	-5110869	-5098245	-2906518	5119114
Fy	-17982	-19557	-15196	-6280	35964
Mz	-0.816E08	-0.162E09	-0.162E09	-0.918E08	0.163E09

* Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

** Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

Normalization to a 17-foot wide strip has been performed. Values for strip 5 ½ - 6 factored by 2, and values for strip 8-10 factored by 1.7.



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

JOB. NO. PGE-009 **DATE** September 20, 2002
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP **ORIGINATOR** S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore **APPROVED** R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 **REVISION** 0

Table 9 (8/11) - Load Case 8 - Time 3.125 days

Z Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line 5 ½

Force/ Moment	Strip		Design Values*
	C-D	D-E	
Fy	-35575	-24446	35575
Fz	-5456216	-5281066	5456216
Mx	0.164E09	0.158E09	-0.164E09


X Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line C

Force/ Moment	Strip				Design Values**
	5 ½ - 6	6-7	7-8	8-10	
Fx	-2498078	-4993234	-4990939	-2847986	4996156
Fy	-24934	-21118	-15672	-6504	49868
Mz	-0.801E08	-0.157E09	-0.157E09	-0.892E08	0.160E09

* Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

** Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

Normalization to a 17-foot wide strip has been performed. Values for strip 5 ½ - 6 factored by 2, and values for strip 8-10 factored by 1.7.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 46 OF 55
 DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO.	PGE-009		
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI		
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses		
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP	ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore	APPROVED	R. F. Evers
SERVICES, INC.	CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006		
	REVISION <u>0</u>		

Table 9 (9/11) - Load Case 9 - Time 4.125 days

Z Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line 5 ½

Force/ Moment	Strip		Design Values*
	C-D	D-E	
Fy	-44128	-25231	44128
Fz	-4973948	-4816150	4973948
Mx	0.144E09	0.138E09	-0.144E09


X Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line C

Force/ Moment	Strip				Design Values**
	5 ½ - 6	6-7	7-8	8-10	
Fx	-2266808	-4535864	-4544699	-2597018	4533616
Fy	-32975	-22679	-16103	-6759	65950
Mz	-0.705E08	-0.137E09	-0.136E09	-0.773E08	0.141E09

* Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

** Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

Normalization to a 17-foot wide strip has been performed. Values for strip 5 ½ - 6 factored by 2, and values for strip 8-10 factored by 1.7.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 47 OF 55
 DATE September 20, 2002
JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP **ORIGINATOR** S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore **APPROVED** R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 **REVISION** 0

Table 9 (10/11) - Load Case 10 - Time 6.125 days

Z Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line 5 ½

Force/ Moment	Strip		Design Values*
	C-D	D-E	
Fy	-54025	-26040	54025
Fz	-3967223	-3841025	3967223
Mx	0.994E08	0.937E08	-0.994E08

X Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line C

Force/ Moment	Strip				Design Values**
	5 ½ - 6	6-7	7-8	8-10	
Fx	-1787383	-3581968	-3603165	-2064483	3574766
Fy	-42357	-24255	-16465	-7061	84714
Mz	-0.492E08	-0.924E08	-0.912E08	-0.519E08	0.984E08

* Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

** Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

Normalization to a 17-foot wide strip has been performed. Values for strip 5 ½ - 6 factored by 2, and values for strip 8-10 factored by 1.7.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 48 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP **ORIGINATOR** S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore **APPROVED** R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 **REVISION** 0

Table 9 (11/11) - Load Case 11 - Time 7.875 days

Z Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line 5 ½

Force/ Moment	Strip		Design Values*
	C-D	D-E	
Fy	-61916	-26847	61916
Fz	-3212272	-3109565	3212272
Mx	0.666E08	0.609E08	-0.666E08


X Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line C

Force/ Moment	Strip				Design Values**
	5 ½ - 6	6-7	7-8	8-10	
Fx	-1434887	-2881157	-2912161	-1673170	2869774
Fy	-49193	-25814	-16855	-7335	98386
Mz	-0.338E08	-0.602E08	-0.584E08	-0.333E08	0.676E08

* Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

** Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

Normalization to a 17-foot wide strip has been performed. Values for strip 5 ½ - 6 factored by 2, and values for strip 8-10 factored by 1.7.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 49 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0

Analysis Results – Shrinkage Stress Analysis

Pad Response – Displacements

The pad displacements are plotted in Figures 24 and 25 below. The maximum displacement of the pad is 0.0583 inches up at the corner. The displacements are also extracted from the data file and documented in Appendix DPad-SH.





Figure 24 – Pad Shrinkage Vertical Displacements

c16



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

JOB. NO. PGE-009 SHEET 50 OF 55
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI DATE September 20, 2002
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0





Figure 25 – Pad Shrinkage Vertical Displacements



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006

SHEET 51 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002
ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED R. F. Evers
REVISION 0

Pad Response – Stresses

Pad stresses are plotted in Figures 26 to 29 below. The maximum tensile stress S_1 is very local to the top surface of the pad and extends almost completely over the surface. The full set of stresses are extracted from the data file and are provided in Table 10. The ANSYS run documenting this data is provided in Appendix SPad-SH.

Table 10 – Pad Stresses (psi)

σ_x Max	σ_x Min	σ_z Max	σ_z Min	σ_1 Max	σ_3 Min	f'_c	f'_t *
1506	-189	1524	-183	1524	-230	5000	474

$$* f_t = 6.7 \sqrt{f_c}$$





Figure 26 – Pad Shrinkage SX Stresses

C18



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

JOB. NO. PGE-009 SHEET 52 OF 55
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI DATE September 20, 2002
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore APPROVED R. F. Evers
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006 REVISION 0




Figure 27 – Pad Shrinkage SZ Stresses





Figure 28 – Pad Shrinkage S1 Stresses

C19



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

SHEET 53 OF 55
JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore
CALCULATION NO. PGE-009-CALC-006
DATE September 20, 2002
ORIGINATOR S. C. Tumminelli
APPROVED R. F. Evers
REVISION 0





Figure 29 – Pad Shrinkage S3 Stresses

C20



JOB. NO.	PGE-009	SHEET	54	OF	55
PROJECT	DCPP ISFSI	DATE	September 20, 2002		
SUBJECT	ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses				
CLIENT	PG&E-DCPP	ORIGINATOR	S. C. Tumminelli		
REVIEWER	K. L. Whitmore	APPROVED	R. F. Evers		
ENERCON SERVICES, INC.	CALCULATION NO.	PGE-009-CALC-006	REVISION	0	

Pad Response – Internal Forces

The pad internal forces are provided in Table 11 for lines 5 ½ and C. The ANSYS output documenting this data extraction is provided in Appendix FPad-SH.

Table 11 - Shrinkage Stress Analysis

Z Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line 5 ½

Force/ Moment	Strip		Design Values*
	C-D	D-E	
Fy	-33078	-35415	35415
Fz	-39019	-18571	39019
Mx	0.854E8	0.792E8	-0.854E8


X Strips - Internal Forces (lbs. and in.-lbs.) - Line C

Force/ Moment	Strip				Design Values**
	5 ½ - 6	6-7	7-8	8-10	
Fx	-137830	-314890	-448136	-288218	489971
Fy	-28244	-57891	-63084	-31288	63084
Mz	-0.420E8	-0.838E8	-0.796E8	-0.405E8	0.840E8

* Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

** Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

Normalization to a 17-foot wide strip has been performed. Values for strip 5 ½ - 6 factored by 2, and values for strip 8-10 factored by 1.7.



SHEET 55 OF 55
DATE September 20, 2002

JOB. NO. PGE-009
PROJECT DCPP ISFSI
SUBJECT ISFSI Cask Storage Pad Concrete Shrinkage and Thermal Stresses
CLIENT PG&E-DCPP **ORIGINATOR** S. C. Tumminelli
REVIEWER K. L. Whitmore **APPROVED** R. F. Evers
ENERCON SERVICES, INC. **CALCULATION NO.** PGE-009-CALC-006 **REVISION** 0

Also, pad internal forces for the top 12 inches and the top 6 inches are provided in Table 12 for lines 5 1/2 and C. This data is extracted from the database to provide a sense for the tension force calculated in the top sections of the pad. The ANSYS output documenting this data extraction is provided in Appendix FTPad-SH.

Table 12 - Shrinkage Stress Analysis

Z Strips - Internal Forces for top 12 inches and top 6 inches (lbs.) - Line 5 1/2

Force	Strip		Design Values*
	C-D	D-E	
Fz 12 inches	1510694	1419874	-1510694
Fz 6 inches	1358678	1143045	-1358678

X Strips - Internal Forces for top 12 inches and top 6 inches (lbs.) - Line C

Force	Strip				Design Values**
	5 1/2 - 6	6-7	7-8	8-10	
Fx12 inches	718835	1430751	1384017	752669	-1437670
Fx 6 inches	578436	1153356	1128242	629499	-1156872

* Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

** Application of sign convention to maintain consistency with Reference 1 applied.

Normalization to a 17-foot wide strip has been performed. Values for strip 5 1/2 - 6 factored by 2, and values for strip 8-10 factored by 1.7.


Summary and Conclusions

This calculation computes applied internal forces due to pad heat up due to cement hydration and subsequent pad shrinkage. The values to be used in subsequent calculations to assess the probability of expected cracking and to size the reinforcement are provided in Tables 9, 11 and 12.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix TH-Loads to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

Originator: 
S. C. Tumminelli
Date: September 20, 2002

Appendix TH-Loads

This Appendix presents the ANSYS file documenting the applied loads for the thermal stress analysis.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix TH-Loads to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

ANSYS Input File:

```
/FILE, PAD-TH
RESUME
EALL
NALL
MPLI
CMLI
/PREP7
LSCLEAR, ALL
/title, DELTA T 0.0 TO 0.25 DAYS
/COM, BC'S ALL LOAD STEPS
ACEL,0,1,0
D,SYMXY,UZ,0.0
D,SYMYZ,UX,0.0
D,XEDGE,UX,0.0
D,XEDGE,UY,0.0
D,XEDGE,UZ,0.0
D,ZEDGE,UX,0.0
D,ZEDGE,UY,0.0
D,ZEDGE,UZ,0.0
D,BOT,UX,0.0
D,BOT,UY,0.0
D,BOT,UZ,0.0
BFUNIF,TEMP,0.0
BF,CONC80,TEMP,15.00
BF,CONC75,TEMP,18.00
BF,CONC70,TEMP,19.67
BF,CONC65,TEMP,21.33
BF,CONC60,TEMP,21.33
BF,CONC50,TEMP,21.33
BF,CONC40,TEMP,21.33
BF,CONC30,TEMP,21.33
BF,CONC20,TEMP,21.33
BF,CONC10,TEMP,20.50
BF,CONC05,TEMP,19.66
BF,CONC00,TEMP,10.67
BF,ROCK05,TEMP,1.67
BF,ROCK10,TEMP,0.84
BF,ROCK20,TEMP,0.0
BF,ROCK30,TEMP,0.0
BF,ROCK40,TEMP,0.0
LSWRITE,1
/title, DELTA T 0.25 TO 0.50 DAYS
/COM, NO DEAD WEIGHT AFTER FISRT LOAD STEP
ACEL,0,0.01,0
BF,CONC80,TEMP,-2.50
BF,CONC75,TEMP,10.50
BF,CONC70,TEMP,9.25
BF,CONC65,TEMP,8.00
BF,CONC60,TEMP,16.67
BF,CONC50,TEMP,25.33
BF,CONC40,TEMP,25.33
BF,CONC30,TEMP,25.33
BF,CONC20,TEMP,25.12
BF,CONC10,TEMP,21.41
```



Appendix TH-Loads to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006 .

```
BF,CONC05,TEMP,17.92
BF,CONC00,TEMP,12.66
BF,ROCK05,TEMP,7.41
BF,ROCK10,TEMP,3.91
BF,ROCK20,TEMP,0.21
BF,ROCK30,TEMP,0.0
BF,ROCK40,TEMP,0.0
LSWRITE,2
/title,DELTA T 0.50 TO 0.625 DAYS
BF,CONC80,TEMP,-3.00
BF,CONC75,TEMP,-1.35
BF,CONC70,TEMP,9.32
BF,CONC65,TEMP,13.46
BF,CONC60,TEMP,9.33
BF,CONC50,TEMP,9.33
BF,CONC40,TEMP,9.33
BF,CONC30,TEMP,9.33
BF,CONC20,TEMP,9.33
BF,CONC10,TEMP,9.33
BF,CONC05,TEMP,2.04
BF,CONC00,TEMP,4.67
BF,ROCK05,TEMP,7.30
BF,ROCK10,TEMP,0.0
BF,ROCK20,TEMP,0.0
BF,ROCK30,TEMP,0.0
BF,ROCK40,TEMP,0.0
LSWRITE,3
/title,DELTA T 0.625 TO 1.125 DAYS
BF,CONC80,TEMP,-5.40
BF,CONC75,TEMP,-7.44
BF,CONC70,TEMP,-8.81
BF,CONC65,TEMP,-3.10
BF,CONC60,TEMP,2.62
BF,CONC50,TEMP,15.00
BF,CONC40,TEMP,20.11
BF,CONC30,TEMP,20.32
BF,CONC20,TEMP,17.86
BF,CONC10,TEMP,11.88
BF,CONC05,TEMP,11.11
BF,CONC00,TEMP,10.33
BF,ROCK05,TEMP,9.55
BF,ROCK10,TEMP,8.78
BF,ROCK20,TEMP,2.81
BF,ROCK30,TEMP,0.35
BF,ROCK40,TEMP,0.01
LSWRITE,4
/title,DELTA T 1.125 TO 1.625 DAYS
BF,CONC80,TEMP,-2.10
BF,CONC75,TEMP,-5.50
BF,CONC70,TEMP,-6.46
BF,CONC65,TEMP,-4.73
BF,CONC60,TEMP,-3.00
BF,CONC50,TEMP,2.25
BF,CONC40,TEMP,7.32
BF,CONC30,TEMP,8.45
BF,CONC20,TEMP,6.32
BF,CONC10,TEMP,3.74
```



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix TH-Loads to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

```
BF,CONC05,TEMP,4.45
BF,CONC00,TEMP,5.16
BF,ROCK05,TEMP,5.88
BF,ROCK10,TEMP,6.60
BF,ROCK20,TEMP,3.98
BF,ROCK30,TEMP,1.38
BF,ROCK40,TEMP,0.25
LSWRITE,5
/title,DELTA T 1.625 TO 2.125 DAYS
BF,CONC80,TEMP,-0.80
BF,CONC75,TEMP,-3.06
BF,CONC70,TEMP,-4.06
BF,CONC65,TEMP,-3.96
BF,CONC60,TEMP,-3.87
BF,CONC50,TEMP,-2.22
BF,CONC40,TEMP,0.96
BF,CONC30,TEMP,2.29
BF,CONC20,TEMP,1.53
BF,CONC10,TEMP,0.91
BF,CONC05,TEMP,1.87
BF,CONC00,TEMP,2.83
BF,ROCK05,TEMP,3.78
BF,ROCK10,TEMP,4.72
BF,ROCK20,TEMP,3.82
BF,ROCK30,TEMP,1.98
BF,ROCK40,TEMP,0.53
LSWRITE,6
/title,DELTA T 2.125 TO 2.375 DAYS
BF,CONC80,TEMP,-0.20
BF,CONC75,TEMP,-0.94
BF,CONC70,TEMP,-1.28
BF,CONC65,TEMP,-1.47
BF,CONC60,TEMP,-1.65
BF,CONC50,TEMP,-1.51
BF,CONC40,TEMP,-0.54
BF,CONC30,TEMP,0.08
BF,CONC20,TEMP,0.09
BF,CONC10,TEMP,0.20
BF,CONC05,TEMP,0.63
BF,CONC00,TEMP,1.06
BF,ROCK05,TEMP,1.46
BF,ROCK10,TEMP,1.86
BF,ROCK20,TEMP,1.73
BF,ROCK30,TEMP,1.05
BF,ROCK40,TEMP,0.33
LSWRITE,7
/title,DELTA T 2.375 TO 3.125 DAYS
BF,CONC80,TEMP,-0.50
BF,CONC75,TEMP,-2.13
BF,CONC70,TEMP,-3.07
BF,CONC65,TEMP,-3.90
BF,CONC60,TEMP,-4.74
BF,CONC50,TEMP,-5.60
BF,CONC40,TEMP,-4.20
BF,CONC30,TEMP,-2.72
BF,CONC20,TEMP,-1.85
BF,CONC10,TEMP,-0.66
```



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix TH-Loads to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

```
BF,CONC05,TEMP,0.64
BF,CONC00,TEMP,1.94
BF,ROCK05,TEMP,3.10
BF,ROCK10,TEMP,4.26
BF,ROCK20,TEMP,4.39
BF,ROCK30,TEMP,3.00
BF,ROCK40,TEMP,1.03
LSWRITE,8
/title,DELTA T 3.125 TO 4.125 DAYS
BF,CONC80,TEMP,-0.30
BF,CONC75,TEMP,-2.03
BF,CONC70,TEMP,-3.14
BF,CONC65,TEMP,-4.49
BF,CONC60,TEMP,-5.84
BF,CONC50,TEMP,-8.34
BF,CONC40,TEMP,-8.35
BF,CONC30,TEMP,-7.11
BF,CONC20,TEMP,-5.33
BF,CONC10,TEMP,-2.91
BF,CONC05,TEMP,-1.19
BF,CONC00,TEMP,0.54
BF,ROCK05,TEMP,1.98
BF,ROCK10,TEMP,3.43
BF,ROCK20,TEMP,4.18
BF,ROCK30,TEMP,3.19
BF,ROCK40,TEMP,1.18
LSWRITE,9
/title,DELTA T 4.125 TO 6.125 DAYS
BF,CONC80,TEMP,0.0
BF,CONC75,TEMP,-1.38
BF,CONC70,TEMP,-2.61
BF,CONC65,TEMP,-4.76
BF,CONC60,TEMP,-6.91
BF,CONC50,TEMP,-11.78
BF,CONC40,TEMP,-13.65
BF,CONC30,TEMP,-12.77
BF,CONC20,TEMP,-9.82
BF,CONC10,TEMP,-5.60
BF,CONC05,TEMP,-3.36
BF,CONC00,TEMP,-1.12
BF,ROCK05,TEMP,0.66
BF,ROCK10,TEMP,2.43
BF,ROCK20,TEMP,4.06
BF,ROCK30,TEMP,3.52
BF,ROCK40,TEMP,1.37
LSWRITE,10
/title,DELTA T 6.125 TO 7.875 DAYS
BF,CONC80,TEMP,0.0
BF,CONC75,TEMP,-0.69
BF,CONC70,TEMP,-1.33
BF,CONC65,TEMP,-2.51
BF,CONC60,TEMP,-3.69
BF,CONC50,TEMP,-6.58
BF,CONC40,TEMP,-8.12
BF,CONC30,TEMP,-8.10
BF,CONC20,TEMP,-6.75
BF,CONC10,TEMP,-4.56
```

ENERCON
SERVICES, INC. Appendix TH-Loads to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

```
BF, CONC05, TEMP, -3.38
BF, CONC00, TEMP, -2.20
BF, ROCK05, TEMP, -1.23
BF, ROCK10, TEMP, -0.25
BF, ROCK20, TEMP, 0.85
BF, ROCK30, TEMP, 1.01
BF, ROCK40, TEMP, 0.43
LSWRITE,11
FINISH
/EXIT,NOSAVE
```

2



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

Originator:


S. C. Tumminelli

Date:

September 20, 2002

Appendix RPad-TH

This Appendix presents the ANSYS output file documenting the execution of the thermal stress analysis and the output temperatures for checking.



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

ANSYS Output File:

ANSYS/Mechanical U

WELCOME TO THE ANSYS PROGRAM

* ANSYS 6.1 NOTICES *

*
* Copyright 2002 SAS IP, Inc. All rights reserved. *
* Unpublished---rights reserved under the Copyright Laws of *
* the United States. *
*
*
* U.S. GOVERNMENT RIGHTS *
*
* Use, duplication, or disclosure by the U.S. Government is *
* subject to restrictions set forth in the ANSYS, Inc. *
* license agreement and as provided in DFARS 227.7202-1(a) *
* and 227.7202-3(a)(1995), DFARS 252.227-7013(c)(1)(ii) (OCT *
* 1988), FAR 12.212(a)(1995), FAR 52.227-19, or FAR52.227-14 *
* (ALT III), as applicable. ANSYS, Inc. *
*
* THIS SOFTWARE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND TRADE *
* SECRETS OF SAS IP, INC. USE, DISCLOSURE, OR REPRODUCTION *
* IS PROHIBITED WITHOUT THE PRIOR EXPRESS WRITTEN PERMISSION *
* OF SAS IP, INC. *

* The Program also contains the following licensed software: *

* PCGLSS: Linear Equations Solver *
*
* (C) Copyright 1992-1995 Computational Applications and *
* System Integration Inc. *
* All Rights Reserved. *
*
* CA&SI, 2004 S. Wright Street, Urbana, IL 61821 *
* Ph (217)244-7875 *
* Fax (217)244-7874 *
*
* CA&SI DOES NOT GUARANTEE THE CORRECTNESS OR USEFULNESS OF *
* THE RESULTS OBTAINED USING PCGLSS. CA&SI IS NOT LIABLE FOR *
* ANY CONCLUSIONS OR ACTIONS BASED ON THE RESULTS. IT IS THE *



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

* RESPONSIBILITY OF THE USER TO CONFIRM THE ACCURACY AND *
 * USEFULNESS OF THE RESULTS. *

Completing ANSYS Load Process.

***** ANSYS COMMAND LINE ARGUMENTS *****

INITIAL JOBNAME = PAD-TH
 BATCH MODE REQUESTED = LIST
 MEMORY REQUESTED (MB) = 800
 DATABASE SIZE REQUESTED (MB) = 250

*** WARNING *** CP= 0.180 TIME= 16:49:19

Use of the -M switch is no longer recommended for normal ANSYS use.
 ANSYS now dynamically allocates memory as needed. Only use the -M
 switch if you are certain that you need to do so.

PARAMETER STATUS- (1 PARAMETERS DEFINED)
 (INCLUDING 1 INTERNAL PARAMETERS)

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT RELEASE= 6.1 UP20020321
 CURRENT JOBNAME=PAD-TH 16:49:19 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 0.180

```

1 resume
2 nall
3 eall
4 mplic
5 /com start, change the material properties for each time step, initial
6 /com property is no. 2. Change back to no. 2 at end and save.
7 /solu
8 /HEADER,ON,OFF,OFF,OFF,ON,OFF
9 elist,530
10 lssolve,1
11 esel,type,2
12 mpchg,3,all
13 eall
14 elist,530
15 lssolve,2
16 esel,type,2
17 mpchg,4,all
18 eall
19 elist,530
20 lssolve,3
21 esel,type,2
22 mpchg,5,all
23 eall
24 elist,530
25 lssolve,4
26 esel,type,2
27 mpchg,6,all
28 eall
29 elist,530
30 lssolve,5
31 esel,type,2
32 mpchg,7,all

```

ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

```
33 eall
34 elist,530
35 lssolve,6
36 esel,type,2
37 mpchg,8,all
38 eall
39 elist,530
40 lssolve,7
41 esel,type,2
42 mpchg,9,all
43 eall
44 elist,530
45 lssolve,8
46 esel,type,2
47 mpchg,10,all
48 eall
49 elist,530
50 lssolve,9
51 esel,type,2
52 mpchg,11,all
53 eall
54 elist,530
55 lssolve,10
56 esel,type,2
57 mpchg,12,all
58 eall
59 elist,530
60 lssolve,11
61 esel,type,2
62 mpchg,2,all
63 eall
64 elist,530
65 finish
66 save
67 /POST1
68 /HEADER,ON,OFF,OFF,OFF,ON,OFF
69 /COM
70 /COM SUM RESULTS, SET TITLES AND WRITE LOAD CASE FILES FOR FURTHER
PROCESSING
71 /COM
72 LCSUM,ALL
73 LCDEF,1,1
74 LCDEF,2,2
75 LCDEF,3,3
76 LCDEF,4,4
77 LCDEF,5,5
78 LCDEF,6,6
79 LCDEF,7,7
80 LCDEF,8,8
81 LCDEF,9,9
82 LCDEF,10,10
83 LCDEF,11,11
84 LCASE,1
85 /TITLE,PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 0.25 DAYS
86 LCWRITE,1
87 LCOPER,ADD,2
88 /TITLE,PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 0.50 DAYS
```

ENERCON
SERVICES, INC. Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

```
89  LCWRITE,2
90  LCOPER,ADD,3
91  /TITLE, PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 0.625 DAYS
92  LCWRITE,3
93  LCOPER,ADD,4
94  /TITLE, PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 1.125 DAYS
95  LCWRITE,4
96  LCOPER,ADD,5
97  /TITLE, PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 1.625 DAYS
98  LCWRITE,5
99  LCOPER,ADD,6
100 /TITLE, PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 2.125 DAYS
101 LCWRITE,6
102 LCOPER,ADD,7
103 /TITLE, PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 2.375 DAYS
104 LCWRITE,7
105 LCOPER,ADD,8
106 /TITLE, PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 3.125 DAYS
107 LCWRITE,8
108 LCOPER,ADD,9
109 /TITLE, PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 4.125 DAYS
110 LCWRITE,9
111 LCOPER,ADD,10
112 /TITLE, PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 6.125 DAYS
113 LCWRITE,10
114 LCOPER,ADD,11
115 /TITLE, PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 7.875 DAYS
116 LCWRITE,11
117 FINISH
118 /com print the temperatures for checking
119 EALL
120 NALL
121 /POST1
122 /HEADER,ON,OFF,OFF,OFF,ON,OFF
123 NSEL,S,LOC,X,0.0
124 NSEL,R,LOC,Z,0.0
125 NSEL,R,LOC,Y,0.0,-145.0
126 NLIS
127 SET,1
128 NSORT,LOC,Y
129 PRNSOL,BFE
130 SET,2
131 NSORT,LOC,Y
132 PRNSOL,BFE
133 SET,3
134 NSORT,LOC,Y
135 PRNSOL,BFE
136 SET,4
137 NSORT,LOC,Y
138 PRNSOL,BFE
139 SET,5
140 NSORT,LOC,Y
141 PRNSOL,BFE
142 SET,6
143 NSORT,LOC,Y
144 PRNSOL,BFE
145 SET,7
```



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

```
146  NSORT,LOC,Y
147  PRNSOL,BFE
148  SET,8
149  NSORT,LOC,Y
150  PRNSOL,BFE
151  SET,9
152  NSORT,LOC,Y
153  PRNSOL,BFE
154  SET,10
155  NSORT,LOC,Y
156  PRNSOL,BFE
157  SET,11
158  NSORT,LOC,Y
159  PRNSOL,BFE
160  LCASE,1
161  NSORT,LOC,Y
162  PRNSOL,BFE
163  LCASE,2
164  NSORT,LOC,Y
165  PRNSOL,BFE
166  LCASE,3
167  NSORT,LOC,Y
168  PRNSOL,BFE
169  LCASE,4
170  NSORT,LOC,Y
171  PRNSOL,BFE
172  LCASE,5
173  NSORT,LOC,Y
174  PRNSOL,BFE
175  LCASE,6
176  NSORT,LOC,Y
177  PRNSOL,BFE
178  LCASE,7
179  NSORT,LOC,Y
180  PRNSOL,BFE
181  LCASE,8
182  NSORT,LOC,Y
183  PRNSOL,BFE
184  LCASE,9
185  NSORT,LOC,Y
186  PRNSOL,BFE
187  LCASE,10
188  NSORT,LOC,Y
189  PRNSOL,BFE
190  LCASE,11
191  NSORT,LOC,Y
192  PRNSOL,BFE
193  NUSORT
194  FINISH
195  eall
196  nall
197  /EXIT,NOSAVE
```

RUN SETUP PROCEDURE FROM FILE= C:\Program Files\Ansys
Inc\ANSYS61\docu\start61.ans

/INPUT FILE= C:\Program Files\Ansys Inc\ANSYS61\docu\start61.ans LINE= 0



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

RESUME ANSYS DATA FROM FILE NAME=PAD-TH.db

*** ANSYS GLOBAL STATUS ***

TITLE = Pad thermal analysis model

NUMBER OF ELEMENT TYPES = 5

8812 ELEMENTS CURRENTLY SELECTED. MAX ELEMENT NUMBER = 9341

10212 NODES CURRENTLY SELECTED. MAX NODE NUMBER = 10212

166 KEYPOINTS CURRENTLY SELECTED. MAX KEYPOINT NUMBER = 166

339 LINES CURRENTLY SELECTED. MAX LINE NUMBER = 339

2 AREAS CURRENTLY SELECTED. MAX AREA NUMBER = 224

48 VOLUMES CURRENTLY SELECTED. MAX VOL. NUMBER = 48

22 COMPONENTS CURRENTLY DEFINED

MAXIMUM LINEAR PROPERTY NUMBER . = 12

MAXIMUM REAL CONSTANT SET NUMBER = 1

ACTIVE COORDINATE SYSTEM = 0 (CARTESIAN)

MAXIMUM COUPLED D.O.F. SET NUMBER = 367

INITIAL JOBNAM = PAD-TH

CURRENT JOBNAM = PAD-TH

10212 NODES (OF 10212 DEFINED) SELECTED BY NALL COMMAND.

8812 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.

LIST MATERIALS 1 TO 12 BY 1
PROPERTY= ALLPROPERTY TABLE EX MAT= 1 NUM. POINTS= 1
TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
0.0000 0.20000E+07PROPERTY TABLE NUXY MAT= 1 NUM. POINTS= 1
TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
0.0000 0.24000PROPERTY TABLE ALPX MAT= 1 NUM. POINTS= 1
TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
0.0000 0.50000E-05PROPERTY TABLE DENS MAT= 1 NUM. POINTS= 1
TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
0.0000 0.0000PROPERTY TABLE EX MAT= 2 NUM. POINTS= 1
TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
0.0000 0.92400E+06PROPERTY TABLE NUXY MAT= 2 NUM. POINTS= 1
TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
0.0000 0.15000PROPERTY TABLE ALPX MAT= 2 NUM. POINTS= 1
TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
0.0000 0.51800E-05



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

PROPERTY TABLE DENS MAT= 2 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.78100E-01

PROPERTY TABLE EX MAT= 3 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.13060E+07

PROPERTY TABLE NUXY MAT= 3 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.15000

PROPERTY TABLE ALPX MAT= 3 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.51800E-05

PROPERTY TABLE DENS MAT= 3 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.78100E-01

PROPERTY TABLE EX MAT= 4 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.14600E+07

PROPERTY TABLE NUXY MAT= 4 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.15000

PROPERTY TABLE ALPX MAT= 4 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.51800E-05

PROPERTY TABLE DENS MAT= 4 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.78100E-01

PROPERTY TABLE EX MAT= 5 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.19640E+07

PROPERTY TABLE NUXY MAT= 5 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.15000

PROPERTY TABLE ALPX MAT= 5 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.51800E-05

PROPERTY TABLE DENS MAT= 5 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.78100E-01

PROPERTY TABLE EX MAT= 6 NUM. POINTS= 1
 TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA TEMPERATURE DATA
 0.0000 0.23580E+07

PROPERTY TABLE NUXY MAT= 6 NUM. POINTS= 1



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.15000				
PROPERTY TABLE ALPX MAT= 6 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.51800E-05				
PROPERTY TABLE DENS MAT= 6 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.78100E-01				
PROPERTY TABLE EX MAT= 7 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.26740E+07				
PROPERTY TABLE NUXY MAT= 7 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.15000				
PROPERTY TABLE ALPX MAT= 7 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.51800E-05				
PROPERTY TABLE DENS MAT= 7 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.78100E-01				
PROPERTY TABLE EX MAT= 8 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.27880E+07				
PROPERTY TABLE NUXY MAT= 8 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.15000				
PROPERTY TABLE ALPX MAT= 8 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.51800E-05				
PROPERTY TABLE DENS MAT= 8 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.78100E-01				
PROPERTY TABLE EX MAT= 9 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.30800E+07				
PROPERTY TABLE NUXY MAT= 9 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.15000				
PROPERTY TABLE ALPX MAT= 9 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA
0.0000	0.51800E-05				
PROPERTY TABLE DENS MAT= 9 NUM. POINTS= 1					
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA


**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC. Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006**

0.0000 0.78100E-01

PROPERTY TABLE EX MAT=		10	NUM.	POINTS=	1	
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	
0.0000	0.32710E+07					
PROPERTY TABLE NUXY MAT=		10	NUM.	POINTS=	1	
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	
0.0000	0.15000					
PROPERTY TABLE ALPX MAT=		10	NUM.	POINTS=	1	
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	
0.0000	0.51800E-05					
PROPERTY TABLE DENS MAT=		10	NUM.	POINTS=	1	
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	
0.0000	0.78100E-01					
PROPERTY TABLE EX MAT=		11	NUM.	POINTS=	1	
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	
0.0000	0.35430E+07					
PROPERTY TABLE NUXY MAT=		11	NUM.	POINTS=	1	
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	
0.0000	0.15000					
PROPERTY TABLE ALPX MAT=		11	NUM.	POINTS=	1	
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	
0.0000	0.51800E-05					
PROPERTY TABLE DENS MAT=		11	NUM.	POINTS=	1	
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	
0.0000	0.78100E-01					
PROPERTY TABLE EX MAT=		12	NUM.	POINTS=	1	
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	
0.0000	0.36690E+07					
PROPERTY TABLE NUXY MAT=		12	NUM.	POINTS=	1	
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	
0.0000	0.15000					
PROPERTY TABLE ALPX MAT=		12	NUM.	POINTS=	1	
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	
0.0000	0.51800E-05					
PROPERTY TABLE DENS MAT=		12	NUM.	POINTS=	1	
TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	TEMPERATURE	DATA	
0.0000	0.78100E-01					

start, change the material properties for each time step, initial property is no. 2. Change back to no. 2 at end and save.

***** ANSYS SOLUTION ROUTINE *****

PRINT HEADER
DO NOT PRINT SUBTITLE(S)



**ENERCÓN
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

DO NOT PRINT LOAD STEP ID
DO NOT PRINT NOTE LINE(S)
PRINT COLUMN HEADER LABELS
DO NOT PRINT REPORT TOTALS

LIST ALL SELECTED ELEMENTS IN RANGE 530 TO 530 STEP 1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 16:49:19 JUN 10. 2002 CP= 0.391

Pad thermal analysis model

ELEM	MAT	TYP	REL	ESY	SEC	TSHA	NODES							
530	2	2	1	0	1		1	28	29	3	672	1050	1064	728
ANSYS RELEASE 6.1 UP20020321							16:47:47	06/10/2002						

PRINTOUT RESUMED BY /GOP

Load step file number 1. Begin solution ...

***** ANSYS SOLVE COMMAND *****

*** NOTE *** CP= 1.662 TIME= 16:49:21
Real constant 1 has been referenced by element types 4 and 5.
We assume it identifies a contact pair.

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 16:49:21 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 1.853

DELTA T 0.0 TO 0.25 DAYS

SOLUTION OPTIONS

*** NOTE *** CP= 1.883 TIME= 16:49:21
Present time 0 is less than or equal to the previous time.
Time will default to 1.

*** NOTE *** CP= 1.893 TIME= 16:49:21
Nonlinear analysis, NROPT set to the FULL Newton-Raphson solution
procedure for ALL DOFs.

SOLCONTROL,ON uses sparse matrix direct solver

*** NOTE *** CP= 1.893 TIME= 16:49:21
The conditions for direct assembly have been met. No .emat or .erot



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

files will be produced.

*** WARNING *** CP= 1.893 TIME= 16:49:21
 The program chosen initial timestep/load-factor is arbitrary. It is
 necessary for the user to supply a suitable initial
 timestep/load-factor through the NSUB or DELTIM command for
 convergence and overall efficiency.

LOAD STEP OPTIONS

LOAD STEP NUMBER.	1
TIME AT END OF THE LOAD STEP.	1.0000
AUTOMATIC TIME STEPPING	ON
INITIAL NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	5000
MINIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
START WITH TIME STEP FROM PREVIOUS SUBSTEP	YES
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS	15
STEP CHANGE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	NO
TERMINATE ANALYSIS IF NOT CONVERGED	YES (EXIT)
CONVERGENCE CONTROLS	USE DEFAULTS
INERTIA LOADS	X Y Z
ACEL	0.0000 1.0000 0.0000
PRINT OUTPUT CONTROLS	NO PRINTOUT
DATABASE OUTPUT CONTROLS	ALL DATA WRITTEN FOR THE LAST SUBSTEP

NONLINEAR MONITORING INFO IS WRITTEN TO FILE= PAD-TH.mntr
 MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS HAS BEEN MODIFIED
 TO BE, NEQIT = 26, BY SOLUTION CONTROL LOGIC.

*** NOTE *** CP= 2.644 TIME= 16:49:22
 Deformable-deformable contact pair identified by real constant set 1
 and contact element type 5 has been set up.
 Contact algorithm: Augmented Lagrange method
 Contact detection at: Gauss integration point
 Default contact stiffness factor FKN 1.0000
 The resulting contact stiffness 0.15725E+07
 Default penetration tolerance factor FTOLN 0.10000
 The resulting penetration tolerance 1.1752
 User define tangent contact stiffness FKT 0.10000E-08
 Default Max. friction stress TAUMAX 0.10000E+21
 Average contact surface length 39.172
 Average contact pair depth 11.752
 Default pinball region factor PINB 1.0000
 The resulting pinball region 11.752
 User define initial closure ICINT 0.10000E-05
 WARNING: Initial penetration is included.

*** NOTE *** CP= 2.644 TIME= 16:49:22
 Max. Initial penetration 1.421085472E-14 was detected between contact
 element 9172 and target element 9002.



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

***** CENTER OF MASS, MASS, AND MASS MOMENTS OF INERTIA *****

CALCULATIONS ASSUME ELEMENT MASS AT ELEMENT CENTROID

TOTAL MASS = 0.19272E+07

CENTER OF MASS	MOM. OF INERTIA		MOM. OF INERTIA ABOUT CENTER OF MASS
	ABOUT ORIGIN		
XC = 204.00	I _{XX} = 0.2606E+12		I _{XX} = 0.6494E+11
YC = -48.000	I _{YY} = 0.3614E+12		I _{YY} = 0.8995E+11
ZC = 315.00	I _{ZZ} = 0.1126E+12		I _{ZZ} = 0.2793E+11
	I _{XY} = 0.1887E+11		I _{XY} = -0.2327E-03
	I _{YZ} = 0.2914E+11		I _{YZ} = -0.3052E-04
	I _{ZX} = -0.1238E+12		I _{ZX} = 0.2487E-02

*** MASS SUMMARY BY ELEMENT TYPE ***

TYPE	MASS
2	0.192718E+07

Range of element maximum matrix coefficients in global coordinates

Maximum= 549511638 at element 7329.

Minimum= 4772865.79 at element 5330.

*** ELEMENT MATRIX FORMULATION TIMES

TYPE	NUMBER	ENAME	TOTAL CP	AVE CP
1	6602	SOLID45	2.383	0.000361
2	1870	SOLID45	0.761	0.000407
4	170	TARGE170	0.000	0.000000
5	170	CONTA174	0.240	0.001414

Time at end of element matrix formulation CP= 6.34912968.

ALL CURRENT ANSYS DATA WRITTEN TO FILE NAME= PAD-TH.rdb

FOR POSSIBLE RESUME FROM THIS POINT

FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.4319E+07 CRITERION= 0.2204E+05

SPARSE MATRIX DIRECT SOLVER.

Number of equations = 25612, Maximum waveform = 83
 Memory available for solver = 110.74 MB
 Memory required for in-core = 110.74 MB
 Optimal memory required for out-of-core = 19.96 MB
 Minimum memory required for out-of-core = 11.21 MB
 EQUIL ITER 1 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.2413E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.2413E-01
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1837E+06 CRITERION= 3888.
 EQUIL ITER 2 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.4418E-02
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.8312 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.3672E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.8657E+06 CRITERION= 3987.
 EQUIL ITER 3 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.2601E-02
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.2601E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2533E+06 CRITERION= 4083.
 EQUIL ITER 4 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX.. MAX DOF INC= 0.3694E-02



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.3694E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.4250E+06 CRITERION= 4176.
 EQUIL ITER 5 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.3577E-02
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.3577E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1575E+06 CRITERION= 4265.
 EQUIL ITER 6 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.4873E-02
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.4873E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2214E+06 CRITERION= 4339.
 EQUIL ITER 7 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.2651E-02
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.2651E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1319E+06 CRITERION= 4425.
 EQUIL ITER 8 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.3189E-02
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.3189E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1458E+06 CRITERION= 4511.
 EQUIL ITER 9 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.2689E-02
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.2689E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.9357E+05 CRITERION= 4598.
 EQUIL ITER 10 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.3610E-02
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.3610E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.8573E+05 CRITERION= 4684.
 EQUIL ITER 11 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1675E-02
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.8603 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1441E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.9602E+05 CRITERION= 4776.
 EQUIL ITER 12 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.8076E-03
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.7546 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.6094E-03
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1254E+06 CRITERION= 4870.
 EQUIL ITER 13 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1567E-02
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1567E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2742E+05 CRITERION= 4963.
 EQUIL ITER 14 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1974E-02
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1974E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2014E+05 CRITERION= 5053.
 EQUIL ITER 15 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1794E-02
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1794E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1529E+05 CRITERION= 5138.
 EQUIL ITER 16 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.5897E-02
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.5897E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3377E-01 CRITERION= 5189. <<< CONVERGED
 >>> SOLUTION CONVERGED AFTER EQUILIBRIUM ITERATION 16

*** ELEMENT RESULT CALCULATION TIMES

TYPE	NUMBER	ENAME	TOTAL CP	AVE CP
1	6602	SOLID45	1.763	0.000267
2	1870	SOLID45	0.651	0.000348
4	170	TARGE170	0.010	0.000059
5	170	CONTA174	0.080	0.000472

*** NODAL LOAD CALCULATION TIMES

TYPE	NUMBER	ENAME	TOTAL CP	AVE CP
1	6602	SOLID45	0.120	0.000018
2	1870	SOLID45	0.050	0.000027
4	170	TARGE170	0.000	0.000000
5	170	CONTA174	0.000	0.000000

*** LOAD STEP 1 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLETED. CUM ITER = 16
 *** TIME = 1.000000 TIME INC = 1.000000



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

*** ANSYS BINARY FILE STATISTICS

BUFFER SIZE USED= 16384

45.062 MB WRITTEN ON ELEMENT SAVED DATA FILE: PAD-TH.esav

8.188 MB WRITTEN ON ASSEMBLED MATRIX FILE: PAD-TH.full

19.750 MB WRITTEN ON RESULTS FILE: PAD-TH.rst

ESEL FOR LABEL= TYPE FROM 2 TO 2 BY 1

1870 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY ESEL COMMAND.

SET MATERIAL OF SELECTED ELEMENTS TO 3

8812 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.

LIST ALL SELECTED ELEMENTS IN RANGE 530 TO 530 STEP 1
1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****

ANSYS/Mechanical U

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 16:56:35 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 343.404

DELTA T 0.0 TO 0.25 DAYS

ELEM	MAT	TYP	REL	ESY	SEC	TSHA	NODES
530	3	2	1	0	1		1 28 29 3 672 1050 1064 728
ANSYS RELEASE	6.1						16:47:47 06/10/2002

PRINTOUT RESUMED BY /GOP

Load step file number 2. Begin solution ...

***** ANSYS SOLVE COMMAND *****

*** NOTE *** CP= 344.646 TIME= 16:56:37

Present time 0 is less than or equal to the previous time.

Time will default to 2.

*** NOTE *** CP= 344.646 TIME= 16:56:37

Nonlinear analysis, NROPT set to the FULL Newton-Raphson solution procedure for ALL DOFs.

SOLCONTROL,ON uses sparse matrix direct solver

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****

ANSYS/Mechanical U

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 16:56:37 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 344.786

DELTA T 0.25 TO 0.50 DAYS

L O A D S T E P O P T I O N S



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

LOAD STEP NUMBER	2
TIME AT END OF THE LOAD STEP	2.0000
AUTOMATIC TIME STEPPING	ON
INITIAL NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1000
MINIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS	15
STEP CHANGE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	NO
TERMINATE ANALYSIS IF NOT CONVERGED	YES (EXIT)
CONVERGENCE CONTROLS	USE DEFAULTS
INERTIA LOADS	X Y Z
ACEL	0.0000 0.10000E-01 0.0000
PRINT OUTPUT CONTROLSNO PRINTOUT
DATABASE OUTPUT CONTROLSALL DATA WRITTEN FOR THE LAST SUBSTEP

NONLINEAR MONITORING INFO IS WRITTEN TO FILE= PAD-TH.mntr
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS HAS BEEN MODIFIED
TO BE, NEQIT = 26, BY SOLUTION CONTROL LOGIC.

FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3698E+07	CRITERION= 0.1935E+05
EQUIL ITER 1 COMPLETED.	NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1099
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000	SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1099
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1765E+06	CRITERION= 4420.
EQUIL ITER 2 COMPLETED.	NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1231
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000	SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1231
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1096E+07	CRITERION= 3999.
EQUIL ITER 3 COMPLETED.	NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.2059E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.4326	SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.8907E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1938E+07	CRITERION= 4052.
EQUIL ITER 4 COMPLETED.	NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1197E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000	SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1197E-01
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.5270E+06	CRITERION= 4099.
EQUIL ITER 5 COMPLETED.	NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.5671E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000	SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.5671E-01
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.4011E+06	CRITERION= 4066.
EQUIL ITER 6 COMPLETED.	NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.3222E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000	SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.3222E-01
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2222E+06	CRITERION= 4099.
EQUIL ITER 7 COMPLETED.	NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1427E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000	SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1427E-01
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2244E-04	CRITERION= 4167. <<< CONVERGED
>>> SOLUTION CONVERGED AFTER EQUILIBRIUM ITERATION 7	
*** LOAD STEP 2 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLETED.	CUM ITER = 23
*** TIME = 2.00000	TIME INC = 1.00000

ESEL FOR LABEL= TYPE FROM 2 TO 2 BY 1

1870 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY ESEL COMMAND.

SET MATERIAL OF SELECTED ELEMENTS TO 4

8812 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.

LIST ALL SELECTED ELEMENTS IN RANGE 530 TO 530 STEP 1
1



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 16:59:46 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 485.618

DELTA T 0.25 TO 0.50 DAYS

ELEM	MAT	TYP	REL	ESY	SEC	TSHA	NODES							
530	4	2	1	0	1		1	28	29	3	672	1050	1064	728
ANSYS RELEASE 6.1 UP20020321							16:47:47	06/10/2002						

PRINTOUT RESUMED BY /GOP

Load step file number 3. Begin solution ...

***** ANSYS SOLVE COMMAND *****

**** NOTE **** CP= 486.850 TIME= 16:59:47
Present time 0 is less than or equal to the previous time.
Time will default to 3.

*** NOTE *** CP= 486.860 TIME= 16:59:47
Nonlinear analysis, NROPT set to the FULL Newton-Raphson solution
procedure for ALL DOFs.

SOLCONTROL,ON uses sparse matrix direct solver

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 16:59:47 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 487.010

DELTA T 0.50 TO 0.625 DAYS

LOAD STEP OPTIONS

NONLINEAR MONITORING INFO IS WRITTEN TO FILE= PAD-TH.mntr



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS HAS BEEN MODIFIED
TO BE, NEQIT = 26, BY SOLUTION CONTROL LOGIC.

```
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.4663E+07 CRITERION= 0.2412E+05
EQUIL ITER 1 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.1954
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.1954
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.8838 CRITERION= 1531. <<< CONVERGED
>>> SOLUTION CONVERGED AFTER EQUILIBRIUM ITERATION 1
*** LOAD STEP 3 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLETED. CUM ITER = 24
*** TIME = 3.000000 TIME INC = 1.000000
```

ESEL FOR LABEL= TYPE FROM 2 TO 2 BY 1

1870 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY ESEL COMMAND.

SET MATERIAL OF SELECTED ELEMENTS TO 5

8812 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.

LIST ALL SELECTED ELEMENTS IN RANGE 530 TO 530 STEP 1
1

```
***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:00:37 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 515.141
```

DELTA T 0.50 TO 0.625 DAYS

ELEM	MAT	TYP	REL	ESY	SEC	TSHA	NODES
530	5	2	1	0	1		1 28 29 3 672 1050 1064 728
ANSYS RELEASE	6.1						16:47:47 06/10/2002

PRINTOUT RESUMED BY /GOP

Load step file number 4. Begin solution ...

***** ANSYS SOLVE COMMAND *****

```
*** NOTE *** CP= 516.403 TIME= 17:00:38
Present time 0 is less than or equal to the previous time.
Time will default to 4.
```

```
*** NOTE *** CP= 516.403 TIME= 17:00:38
Nonlinear analysis, NROPT set to the FULL Newton-Raphson solution
procedure for ALL DOFs.
```

SOLCONTROL,ON uses sparse matrix direct solver

1

```
***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:00:39 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 516.593
```

DELTA T 0.625 TO 1.125 DAYS



ENERCON

**ERICKSON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

LOAD STEP OPTIONS

NONLINEAR MONITORING INFO IS WRITTEN TO FILE= PAD-TH.mntr
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS HAS BEEN MODIFIED
TO BE. NEOIT = 26. BY SOLUTION CONTROL LOGIC.

```

    FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.6213E+07 CRITERION= 0.3175E+05
EQUIL ITER 1 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.5309E-01
    LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000      SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.5309E-01
    FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.6322      CRITERION= 5058.      <<< CONVERGED
    >>> SOLUTION CONVERGED AFTER EQUILIBRIUM ITERATION 1
*** LOAD STEP 4 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLETED. CUM ITER = 25
*** TIME = 4.000000 TIME INC = 1.000000

```

ESEL FOR LABEL= TYPE FROM 2 TO 2 BY 1

1870 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY ESEL COMMAND.

SET MATERIAL OF SELECTED ELEMENTS TO

8812 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.

LIST ALL SELECTED ELEMENTS IN RANGE 530 TO 530 STEP 1
1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****

ANSYS/Mechanical U

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:01:28 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 544.403

DELTA T 0.625 TO 1.125 DAYS

ELEM MAT TYP REL ESY SEC TSHA NODES

530 6 2 1 0 1 1 28 29 3 672 1050 1064 728
ANSYS RELEASE 6.1 UP20020321 16:47:48 06/10/2002

PRINTOUT RESUMED BY /GOP



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

Load step file number 5. Begin solution ...

***** ANSYS SOLVE COMMAND *****

*** NOTE *** CP= 545.635 TIME= 17:01:30
 Present time 0 is less than or equal to the previous time.
 Time will default to 5.

*** NOTE *** CP= 545.635 TIME= 17:01:30
 Nonlinear analysis, NROPT set to the FULL Newton-Raphson solution
 procedure for ALL DOFs.

SOLCONTROL,ON uses sparse matrix direct solver

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U
 00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:01:30 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 545.835

DELTA T 1.125 TO 1.625 DAYS

LOAD STEP OPTIONS

LOAD STEP NUMBER.	5
TIME AT END OF THE LOAD STEP.	5.0000
AUTOMATIC TIME STEPPING	ON
INITIAL NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1000
MINIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS	15
STEP CHANGE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	NO
TERMINATE ANALYSIS IF NOT CONVERGED	YES (EXIT)
CONVERGENCE CONTROLS	USE DEFAULTS
INERTIA LOADS	X Y Z
ACEL	0.0000 0.1000E-01 0.0000
PRINT OUTPUT CONTROLS	NO PRINTOUT
DATABASE OUTPUT CONTROLS	ALL DATA WRITTEN FOR THE LAST SUBSTEP

NONLINEAR MONITORING INFO IS WRITTEN TO FILE= PAD-TH.mntr
 MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS HAS BEEN MODIFIED
 TO BE, NEQIT = 26, BY SOLUTION CONTROL LOGIC.

FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.4293E+07 CRITERION= 0.2265E+05
 EQUIL ITER 1 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.1210
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5074E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.6139E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.4075E+07 CRITERION= 3196.
 EQUIL ITER 2 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.1149
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5070E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.5823E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3869E+07 CRITERION= 3173.
 EQUIL ITER 3 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.1090
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5067E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.5524E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3673E+07 CRITERION= 3156.
 EQUIL ITER 4 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.1035
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5063E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.5241E-02



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3487E+07 CRITERION= 3146.
 EQUIL ITER 5 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.9827E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5060E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.4972E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3310E+07 CRITERION= 3143.
 EQUIL ITER 6 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.9330E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5057E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.4718E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3143E+07 CRITERION= 3145.
 EQUIL ITER 7 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.8858E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5054E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.4477E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2984E+07 CRITERION= 3154.
 EQUIL ITER 8 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.8410E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5051E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.4248E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2833E+07 CRITERION= 3169.
 EQUIL ITER 9 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.7985E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5049E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.4032E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2690E+07 CRITERION= 3189.
 EQUIL ITER 10 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.7582E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5046E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.3826E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2555E+07 CRITERION= 3215.
 EQUIL ITER 11 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.7200E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5044E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.3631E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2426E+07 CRITERION= 3246.
 EQUIL ITER 12 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.6836E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5042E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.3447E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2303E+07 CRITERION= 3282.
 EQUIL ITER 13 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.6492E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5040E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.3272E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2187E+07 CRITERION= 3322.
 EQUIL ITER 14 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.6165E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5038E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.3105E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2077E+07 CRITERION= 3368.
 EQUIL ITER 15 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.5854E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5036E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2948E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1973E+07 CRITERION= 3417.
 EQUIL ITER 16 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.5559E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5034E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2798E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1873E+07 CRITERION= 3471.
 EQUIL ITER 17 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.5279E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5032E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2657E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1779E+07 CRITERION= 3529.
 EQUIL ITER 18 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.5014E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5031E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2522E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1690E+07 CRITERION= 3591.
 EQUIL ITER 19 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.4761E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5029E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2395E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1605E+07 CRITERION= 3656.
 EQUIL ITER 20 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.4522E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5028E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2274E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1524E+07 CRITERION= 3725.
 EQUIL ITER 21 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.4295E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5026E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2159E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1447E+07 CRITERION= 3797.
 EQUIL ITER 22 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.4079E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5025E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2050E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1375E+07 CRITERION= 3873.
 EQUIL ITER 23 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.3874E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5024E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.1946E-02



FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3487E+07 CRITERION= 3146.
 EQUIL ITER 5 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.9827E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5060E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.4972E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3310E+07 CRITERION= 3143.
 EQUIL ITER 6 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.9330E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5057E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.4718E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3143E+07 CRITERION= 3145.
 EQUIL ITER 7 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.8858E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5054E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.4477E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2984E+07 CRITERION= 3154.
 EQUIL ITER 8 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.8410E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5051E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.4248E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2833E+07 CRITERION= 3169.
 EQUIL ITER 9 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.7985E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5049E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.4032E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2690E+07 CRITERION= 3189.
 EQUIL ITER 10 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.7582E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5046E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.3826E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2555E+07 CRITERION= 3215.
 EQUIL ITER 11 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.7200E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5044E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.3631E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2426E+07 CRITERION= 3246.
 EQUIL ITER 12 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.6836E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5042E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.3447E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2303E+07 CRITERION= 3282.
 EQUIL ITER 13 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.6492E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5040E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.3272E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2187E+07 CRITERION= 3322.
 EQUIL ITER 14 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.6165E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5038E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.3105E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2077E+07 CRITERION= 3368.
 EQUIL ITER 15 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.5854E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5036E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2948E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1973E+07 CRITERION= 3417.
 EQUIL ITER 16 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.5559E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5034E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2798E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1873E+07 CRITERION= 3471.
 EQUIL ITER 17 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.5279E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5032E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2657E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1779E+07 CRITERION= 3529.
 EQUIL ITER 18 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.5014E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5031E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2522E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1690E+07 CRITERION= 3591.
 EQUIL ITER 19 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.4761E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5029E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2395E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1605E+07 CRITERION= 3656.
 EQUIL ITER 20 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.4522E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5028E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2274E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1524E+07 CRITERION= 3725.
 EQUIL ITER 21 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.4295E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5026E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2159E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1447E+07 CRITERION= 3797.
 EQUIL ITER 22 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.4079E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5025E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2050E-02
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1375E+07 CRITERION= 3873.
 EQUIL ITER 23 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.3874E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5024E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.1946E-02



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

```

FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1307E+07 CRITERION= 3951.
EQUIL ITER 24 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.3436E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5029E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.1728E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1243E+07 CRITERION= 4033.
EQUIL ITER 25 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.3206E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5029E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.1613E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1181E+07 CRITERION= 4035.
EQUIL ITER 26 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.3045E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.5028E-01 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.1531E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1123E+07 CRITERION= 4037.
>>> SOLUTION NOT CONVERGED AFTER 26 EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS
*** LOAD STEP 5 SUBSTEP 1 NOT COMPLETED. CUM ITER = 51
*** BEGIN BISECTION NUMBER 1 NEW TIME INCREMENT= 0.50000

FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2149E+07 CRITERION= 0.1238E+05
EQUIL ITER 1 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.6246E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.6246E-01
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.4572E-04 CRITERION= 4282. <<< CONVERGED
>>> SOLUTION CONVERGED AFTER EQUILIBRIUM ITERATION 1
*** LOAD STEP 5 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLETED. CUM ITER = 52
*** TIME = 4.50000 TIME INC = 0.500000
*** AUTO STEP TIME: NEXT TIME INC = 0.50000 UNCHANGED

FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.7410E+09 CRITERION= 0.1203E+05
EQUIL ITER 1 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.2448E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.2448E-01
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1289E+06 CRITERION= 2599.
EQUIL ITER 2 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.2088E-02
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.2088E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2623E+06 CRITERION= 2667.
EQUIL ITER 3 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1729E-02
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1729E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3391E+06 CRITERION= 2729.
EQUIL ITER 4 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1367E-02
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.9879 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1350E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3089E+06 CRITERION= 2800.
EQUIL ITER 5 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1404E-02
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1404E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2155E+06 CRITERION= 2865.
EQUIL ITER 6 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1080E-02
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1080E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.8170E+05 CRITERION= 2936.
EQUIL ITER 7 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1067E-02
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1067E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1217E+06 CRITERION= 3003.
EQUIL ITER 8 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1207E-02
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1207E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.7488E+05 CRITERION= 3077.
EQUIL ITER 9 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.4289E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.4289E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.9288E+05 CRITERION= 3143.
EQUIL ITER 10 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1226E-02
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1226E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.4559E+05 CRITERION= 3217.
EQUIL ITER 11 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.5802E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.5802E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.8540E+05 CRITERION= 3285.

```



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

```

EQUIL ITER 12 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.5834E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.5834E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3679E+05 CRITERION= 3356.
EQUIL ITER 13 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.3587E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.3587E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.5743E+05 CRITERION= 3425.
EQUIL ITER 14 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.4073E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.4073E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3201E+05 CRITERION= 3495.
EQUIL ITER 15 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1592E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1592E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2783E+05 CRITERION= 3565.
EQUIL ITER 16 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.3916E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.3916E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2008E+05 CRITERION= 3634.
EQUIL ITER 17 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1693E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1693E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 7903. CRITERION= 3709.
EQUIL ITER 18 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1500E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1500E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 5934. CRITERION= 3783.
EQUIL ITER 19 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1575E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1575E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 2298. CRITERION= 3860. <<< CONVERGED
>>> SOLUTION CONVERGED AFTER EQUILIBRIUM ITERATION 19
*** LOAD STEP      5 SUBSTEP      2 COMPLETED. CUM ITER =    71
*** TIME =      5.000000          TIME INC =  0.500000

```

```
ESEL FOR LABEL= TYPE FROM      2 TO      2 BY      1
```

```
1870 ELEMENTS (OF      8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY ESEL COMMAND.
```

```
SET MATERIAL OF SELECTED ELEMENTS TO      7
```

```
8812 ELEMENTS (OF      8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.
```

```
LIST ALL SELECTED ELEMENTS IN RANGE      530 TO      530 STEP      1
1
```

```
***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050           VERSION=INTEL NT           17:20:58 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 1446.770
```

```
DELTA T 1.125 TO 1.625 DAYS
```

ELEM MAT TYP REL ESY SEC TSHA						NODES							
530	7	2	1	0	1	1	28	29	3	672	1050	1064	728
ANSYS RELEASE 6.1 UP20020321						16:47:48 06/10/2002							

```
PRINTOUT RESUMED BY /GOP
```

```
Load step file number 6. Begin solution ...
```

```
***** ANSYS SOLVE COMMAND *****
```



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

*** NOTE *** CP= 1448.002 TIME= 17:21:00
 Present time 0 is less than or equal to the previous time.
 Time will default to 6.

*** NOTE *** CP= 1448.002 TIME= 17:21:00
 Nonlinear analysis, NROPT set to the FULL Newton-Raphson solution
 procedure for ALL DOFs.

SOLCONTROL,ON uses sparse matrix direct solver

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U
 00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:21:00 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 1448.243

DELTA T 1.625 TO 2.125 DAYS

LOAD STEP OPTIONS

LOAD STEP NUMBER.	6
TIME AT END OF THE LOAD STEP.	6.0000
AUTOMATIC TIME STEPPING.	ON
INITIAL NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS.	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS.	1000
MINIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS.	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS.	15
STEP CHANGE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS.	NO
TERMINATE ANALYSIS IF NOT CONVERGED.	YES (EXIT)
CONVERGENCE CONTROLS.	USE DEFAULTS
INERTIA LOADS	X Y Z
ACEL.	0.0000 0.10000E-01 0.0000
PRINT OUTPUT CONTROLS.	.NO PRINTOUT
DATABASE OUTPUT CONTROLS.	.ALL DATA WRITTEN FOR THE LAST SUBSTEP

NONLINEAR MONITORING INFO IS WRITTEN TO FILE= PAD-TH.mntr
 MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS HAS BEEN MODIFIED
 TO BE, NEQIT = 26, BY SOLUTION CONTROL LOGIC.

FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE	= 0.2462E+07	CRITERION= 0.1289E+05
EQUIL ITER 1 COMPLETED.	NEW TRIANG MATRIX.	MAX DOF INC= 0.2759E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER	= 0.9949	SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.2745E-01
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE	= 0.9228E+06	CRITERION= 1367.
EQUIL ITER 2 COMPLETED.	NEW TRIANG MATRIX.	MAX DOF INC= 0.1158E-02
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER	= 1.000	SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1158E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE	= 0.5910E+05	CRITERION= 1395.
EQUIL ITER 3 COMPLETED.	NEW TRIANG MATRIX.	MAX DOF INC= 0.1424E-02
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER	= 1.000	SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1424E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE	= 0.7264E+05	CRITERION= 1418.
EQUIL ITER 4 COMPLETED.	NEW TRIANG MATRIX.	MAX DOF INC= 0.9024E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER	= 1.000	SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.9024E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE	= 0.3472E+05	CRITERION= 1445.
EQUIL ITER 5 COMPLETED.	NEW TRIANG MATRIX.	MAX DOF INC= 0.1651E-02
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER	= 1.000	SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1651E-02
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE	= 0.3764E+05	CRITERION= 1469.



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

EQUIL ITER 6 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.4908E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.4908E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1974E+05 CRITERION= 1494.
EQUIL ITER 7 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.2077E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.2077E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3068E+05 CRITERION= 1522.
EQUIL ITER 8 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.2244E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.2244E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 8068. CRITERION= 1549.
EQUIL ITER 9 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.7462E-04
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.7462E-04
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 7216. CRITERION= 1580.
EQUIL ITER 10 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.5422E-04
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.5422E-04
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 866.9 CRITERION= 1612. <<< CONVERGED
>>> SOLUTION CONVERGED AFTER EQUILIBRIUM ITERATION 10
*** LOAD STEP 6 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLETED. CUM ITER = 81
*** TIME = 6.00000 TIME INC = 1.00000

ESEL FOR LABEL= TYPE FROM 2 TO 2 BY 1

1870 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY ESEL COMMAND.

SET MATERIAL OF SELECTED ELEMENTS TO 8

8812 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.

LIST ALL SELECTED ELEMENTS IN RANGE 530 TO 530 STEP 1
1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:25:31 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 1654.369

DELTA T 1.625 TO 2.125 DAYS

ELEM	MAT	TYP	REL	ESY	SEC	TSHA	NODES
530	8	2	1	0	1		1 28 29 3 672 1050 1064 728
ANSYS RELEASE	6.1						16:47:48 06/10/2002

PRINTOUT RESUMED BY /GOP

Load step file number 7. Begin solution ...

***** ANSYS SOLVE COMMAND *****

*** NOTE *** CP= 1655.631 TIME= 17:25:32
Present time 0 is less than or equal to the previous time.
Time will default to 7.

*** NOTE *** CP= 1655.631 TIME= 17:25:32
Nonlinear analysis, NROPT set to the FULL Newton-Raphson solution
procedure for ALL DOFs.

SOLCONTROL,ON uses sparse matrix direct solver



1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:25:32 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 1655.881
DELTA T 2.125 TO 2.375 DAYS

LOAD STEP OPTIONS

LOAD STEP NUMBER.	7		
TIME AT END OF THE LOAD STEP.	7.0000		
AUTOMATIC TIME STEPPING	ON		
INITIAL NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1		
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1000		
MINIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1		
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS.	15		
STEP CHANGE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	NO		
TERMINATE ANALYSIS IF NOT CONVERGED	YES (EXIT)		
CONVERGENCE CONTROLS.	USE DEFAULTS		
INERTIA LOADS	X	Y	Z
ACEL	0.0000	0.10000E-01	0.0000
PRINT OUTPUT CONTROLS	NO PRINTOUT		
DATABASE OUTPUT CONTROLS.	ALL DATA WRITTEN FOR THE LAST SUBSTEP		

NONLINEAR MONITORING INFO IS WRITTEN TO FILE= PAD-TH.mntr
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS HAS BEEN MODIFIED
TO BE, NEQIT = 26, BY SOLUTION CONTROL LOGIC.

```

FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1711E+07 CRITERION= 8833.
EQUIL ITER 1 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.2557E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.9946 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.2543E-01
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2740E+06 CRITERION= 495.3
EQUIL ITER 2 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.1640E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.1640E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1375E+05 CRITERION= 507.4
EQUIL ITER 3 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.7619E-04
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.7619E-04
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 5821. CRITERION= 516.8
EQUIL ITER 4 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.3730E-04
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.3730E-04
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 1485. CRITERION= 526.7
EQUIL ITER 5 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.4652E-05
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.4652E-05
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1407E-03 CRITERION= 537.4 <<< CONVERGED
>>> SOLUTION CONVERGED AFTER EQUILIBRIUM ITERATION 5
*** LOAD STEP 7 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLETED. CUM ITER = 86
*** TIME = 7.000000 TIME INC = 1.000000

```

ESCL: FOR LABEL= TYPE FROM 2 TO 2 BY 1

1870 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY ESEL COMMAND.

SET MATERIAL OF SELECTED ELEMENTS TO



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

8812 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.

LIST ALL SELECTED ELEMENTS IN RANGE 530 TO 530 STEP 1
1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:28:06 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 1765.889

DELTA T 2.125 TO 2.375 DAYS

ELEM	MAT	TYP	REL	ESY	SEC	TSHA	NODES
530	9	2	1	0	1		1 28 29 3 672 1050 1064 728
ANSYS RELEASE	6.1						16:47:48 06/10/2002

PRINTOUT RESUMED BY /GOP

Load step file number 8. Begin solution ...

***** ANSYS SOLVE COMMAND *****

*** NOTE *** CP= 1767.131 TIME= 17:28:07
Present time 0 is less than or equal to the previous time.
Time will default to 8.

*** NOTE *** CP= 1767.131 TIME= 17:28:07
Nonlinear analysis, NROPT set to the FULL Newton-Raphson solution
procedure for ALL DOFs.

SOLCONTROL,ON uses sparse matrix direct solver

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:28:08 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 1767.421

DELTA T 2.375 TO 3.125 DAYS

L O A D S T E P O P T I O N S

LOAD STEP NUMBER.	8
TIME AT END OF THE LOAD STEP.	8.0000
AUTOMATIC TIME STEPPING	ON
INITIAL NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1000
MINIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS.	15
STEP CHANGE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	NO
TERMINATE ANALYSIS IF NOT CONVERGED	YES (EXIT)
CONVERGENCE CONTROLS.	USE DEFAULTS
INERTIA LOADS	X Y Z
ACEL	0.0000 0.1000E-01 0.0000
PRINT OUTPUT CONTROLS	NO PRINTOUT



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

DATABASE OUTPUT CONTROLS. ALL DATA WRITTEN
FOR THE LAST SUBSTEP

NONLINEAR MONITORING INFO IS WRITTEN TO FILE= PAD-TH.mntr
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS HAS BEEN MODIFIED
TO BE, NEQIT = 26, BY SOLUTION CONTROL LOGIC.

FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1434E+07 CRITERION= 7332.
EQUIL ITER 1 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.5927E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.5927E-01
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2973E+05 CRITERION= 1353.
EQUIL ITER 2 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.2397E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.2397E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.3235E+05 CRITERION= 1379.
EQUIL ITER 3 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.2445E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.2445E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1612E+05 CRITERION= 1405.
EQUIL ITER 4 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.1145E-03
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.1145E-03
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 3510. CRITERION= 1433.
EQUIL ITER 5 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.2665E-04
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.2665E-04
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.6556E-03 CRITERION= 1462. <<< CONVERGED
>>> SOLUTION CONVERGED AFTER EQUILIBRIUM ITERATION 5
*** LOAD STEP 8 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLETED. CUM ITER = 91
*** TIME = 8.00000 TIME INC = 1.00000

ESEL FOR LABEL= TYPE FROM 2 TO 2 BY 1

1870 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY ESEL COMMAND.

SET MATERIAL OF SELECTED ELEMENTS TO 10

8812 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.

LIST ALL SELECTED ELEMENTS IN RANGE 530 TO 530 STEP 1
1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:30:32 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 1870.370

DELTA T 2.375 TO 3.125 DAYS

ELEM	MAT	TYP	REL	ESY	SEC	TSHA	NODES
530	10	2	1	0	1		1 28 29 3 672 1050 1064 728
ANSYS RELEASE	6.1					UP20020321	16:47:48 06/10/2002

PRINTOUT RESUMED BY /GOP

Load step file number 9. Begin solution ...

***** ANSYS SOLVE COMMAND *****



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

*** NOTE *** CP= 1871.681 TIME= 17:30:35
 Present time 0 is less than or equal to the previous time.
 Time will default to 9.

*** NOTE *** CP= 1871.691 TIME= 17:30:35
 Nonlinear analysis, NROPT set to the FULL Newton-Raphson solution
 procedure for ALL DOFs.

SOLCONTROL,ON uses sparse matrix direct solver
 1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U
 00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:30:35 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 1872.002

DELTA T 3.125 TO 4.125 DAYS

L O A D S T E P O P T I O N S

LOAD STEP NUMBER.	9
TIME AT END OF THE LOAD STEP.	9.0000
AUTOMATIC TIME STEPPING	ON
INITIAL NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1000
MINIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS.	15
STEP CHANGE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	NO
TERMINATE ANALYSIS IF NOT CONVERGED	YES (EXIT)
CONVERGENCE CONTROLS.	USE DEFAULTS
INERTIA LOADS	X Y Z
ACEL	0.0000 0.10000E-01 0.0000
PRINT OUTPUT CONTROLS	NO PRINTOUT
DATABASE OUTPUT CONTROLS.	ALL DATA WRITTEN FOR THE LAST SUBSTEP

NONLINEAR MONITORING INFO IS WRITTEN TO FILE= PAD-TH.mntr
 MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS HAS BEEN MODIFIED
 TO BE, NEQIT = 26, BY SOLUTION CONTROL LOGIC.

FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1579E+07 CRITERION= 8170.
 EQUIL ITER 1 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.3392E-01
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.3392E-01
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 5444. CRITERION= 2303.
 EQUIL ITER 2 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.5112E-04
 LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.5112E-04
 FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.7658E-03 CRITERION= 2350. <<< CONVERGED
 >>> SOLUTION CONVERGED AFTER EQUILIBRIUM ITERATION 2
 *** LOAD STEP 9 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLETED. CUM ITER = 93
 *** TIME = 9.00000 TIME INC = 1.00000

ESEL FOR LABEL= TYPE FROM 2 TO 2 BY 1

1870 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY ESEL COMMAND.

SET MATERIAL OF SELECTED ELEMENTS TO 11



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

8812 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.

LIST ALL SELECTED ELEMENTS IN RANGE 530 TO 530 STEP 1
1***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:31:49 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 1920.491

DELTA T 3.125 TO 4.125 DAYS

ELEM	MAT	TYP	REL	ESY	SEC	TSHA	NODES							
530	11	2	1	0	1		1	28	29	3	672	1050	1064	728
ANSYS RELEASE	6.1					UP20020321		16:47:48		06/10/2002				

PRINTOUT RESUMED BY /GOP

Load step file number 10. Begin solution ...

***** ANSYS SOLVE COMMAND *****

*** NOTE *** CP= 1921.853 TIME= 17:31:51
Present time 0 is less than or equal to the previous time.
Time will default to 10.*** NOTE *** CP= 1921.853 TIME= 17:31:51
Nonlinear analysis, NROPT set to the FULL Newton-Raphson solution
procedure for ALL DOFs.

SOLCONTROL,ON uses sparse matrix direct solver

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:31:52 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 1922.184

DELTA T 4.125 TO 6.125 DAYS

LOAD STEP OPTIONS

LOAD STEP NUMBER	10
TIME AT END OF THE LOAD STEP	10.000
AUTOMATIC TIME STEPPING	ON
INITIAL NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1000
MINIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS	15
STEP CHANGE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	NO
TERMINATE ANALYSIS IF NOT CONVERGED	YES (EXIT)
CONVERGENCE CONTROLS	USE DEFAULTS
INERTIA LOADS	X Y Z
ACEL	0.0000 0.10000E-01 0.0000
PRINT OUTPUT CONTROLS	NO PRINTOUT



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

DATABASE OUTPUT CONTROLS. ALL DATA WRITTEN
FOR THE LAST SUBSTEP

NONLINEAR MONITORING INFO IS WRITTEN TO FILE= PAD-TH.mntr
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS HAS BEEN MODIFIED
TO BE, NEOIT = 26, BY SOLUTION CONTROL LOGIC.

```

        FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2253E+07 CRITERION= 0.1174E+05
EQUIL ITER 1 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.3675E-01
        LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.3675E-01
        FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 69.09 CRITERION= 4270. <<< CONVERGED
    >>> SOLUTION CONVERGED AFTER EQUILIBRIUM ITERATION 1
*** LOAD STEP 10 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLETED. CUM ITER = 94
*** TIME = 10.0000 TIME INC = 1.00000

```

ESEL FOR LABEL= TYPE FROM 2 TO 2 BY 1

1870 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY ESEL COMMAND.

SET MATERIAL OF SELECTED ELEMENTS TO 12

8812 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.

LIST ALL SELECTED ELEMENTS IN RANGE 530 TO 530 STEP 1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:32:40 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 1950.104

DELTA T 4.125 TO 6.125 DAYS

ELEM	MAT	TYP	REL	ESY	SEC	TSHA	NODES								
530	12	2	1	0	1		1	28	29	3	672	1050	1064	728	
ANSYS RELEASE 6.1				UP20020321				16:47:48				06/10/2002			

PRINTOUT RESUMED BY /GOP

Load step file number 11. Begin solution ...

***** ANSYS SOLVE COMMAND *****

*** NOTE *** CP= 1951.446 TIME= 17:32:43
Present time 0 is less than or equal to the previous time.
Time will default to 11.

*** NOTE *** CP= 1951.446 TIME= 17:32:43
Nonlinear analysis, NROPT set to the FULL Newton-Raphson solution
procedure for ALL DOFs.

SOLCONTROL,ON uses sparse matrix direct solver

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC. Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:32:43 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 1951.797
DELTA T 6.125 TO 7.875 DAYS

LOAD STEP OPTIONS

LOAD STEP NUMBER	11
TIME AT END OF THE LOAD STEP.	11.000
AUTOMATIC TIME STEPPING	ON
INITIAL NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1000
MINIMUM NUMBER OF SUBSTEPS	1
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS.	15
STEP CHANGE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	NO
TERMINATE ANALYSIS IF NOT CONVERGED	YES (EXIT)
CONVERGENCE CONTROLS.	USE DEFAULTS
INERTIA LOADS	X Y Z
ACEL	0.0000 0.10000E-01 0.0000
PRINT OUTPUT CONTROLSNO PRINTOUT
DATABASE OUTPUT CONTROLS.ALL DATA WRITTEN FOR THE LAST SUBSTEP

NONLINEAR MONITORING INFO IS WRITTEN TO FILE= PAD-TH.mntr
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUILIBRIUM ITERATIONS HAS BEEN MODIFIED
TO BE, NEQIT = 26, BY SOLUTION CONTROL LOGIC.

FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.2269E+07 CRITERION= 0.1235E+05
EQUIL ITER 1 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.7043E-01
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 0.9999 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.7042E-01
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.8921E+05 CRITERION= 2811.
EQUIL ITER 2 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= -0.7337E-04
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = -0.7337E-04
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.1105E+05 CRITERION= 2868.
EQUIL ITER 3 COMPLETED. NEW TRIANG MATRIX. MAX DOF INC= 0.8880E-04
LINE SEARCH PARAMETER = 1.000 SCALED MAX DOF INC = 0.8880E-04
FORCE CONVERGENCE VALUE = 0.5739E-04 CRITERION= 2927. <<< CONVERGED
>>> SOLUTION CONVERGED AFTER EQUILIBRIUM ITERATION 3
*** LOAD STEP 11 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLETED. CUM ITER = 97
*** TIME = 11.0000 TIME INC = 1.00000

ESEL FOR LABEL= TYPE FROM 2 TO 2 BY 1

1870 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY ESEL COMMAND.

SET MATERIAL OF SELECTED ELEMENTS TO 2

8812 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.

LIST ALL SELECTED ELEMENTS IN RANGE 530 TO 530 STEP 1
1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****

ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:34:23 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2020.535



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

DELTA T 6.125 TO 7.875 DAYS

ELEM	MAT	TYP	REL	ESY	SEC	TSHA	NODES
530	2	2	1	0	1		1 28 29 3 672 1050 1064 728

FINISH SOLUTION PROCESSING

***** ROUTINE COMPLETED ***** CP = 2020.545

ALL CURRENT ANSYS DATA WRITTEN TO FILE NAME= PAD-TH.db
FOR POSSIBLE RESUME FROM THIS POINT

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:34:29 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2021.026

DELTA T 6.125 TO 7.875 DAYS

***** ANSYS RESULTS INTERPRETATION (POST1) *****

*** WARNING *** CP= 2021.026 TIME= 17:34:29
The current solution may have been produced using different model or
boundary condition data than is currently stored. POST1 results may
be erroneous unless you perform a new solution using the stored data.

*** NOTE *** CP= 2021.026 TIME= 17:34:30
Reading results into the database (SET command) will update the current
displacement and force boundary conditions in the database with the
values from the results file for that load set. Note that any
subsequent solutions will use these values unless action is taken to
either SAVE the current values or not overwrite them (/EXIT,NOSAVE).

PRINT HEADER
DO NOT PRINT SUBTITLE(S)
DO NOT PRINT LOAD STEP ID
DO NOT PRINT NOTE LINE(S)
PRINT COLUMN HEADER LABELS
DO NOT PRINT REPORT TOTALS

SUM RESULTS, SET TITLES AND WRITE LOAD CASE FILES FOR FURTHER PROCESSING

COMBINE ALL RECORDS INCLUDING NON-SUMMABLE

LOAD CASE 1 IS LOAD STEP 1 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLEX= 0
FILE= PAD-TH.rst
DELTA T 0.0 TO 0.25 DAYS



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

LOAD CASE 2 IS LOAD STEP 2 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLEX= 0
FILE= PAD-TH.rst
DELTA T 0.25 TO 0.50 DAYS

LOAD CASE 3 IS LOAD STEP 3 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLEX= 0
FILE= PAD-TH.rst
DELTA T 0.50 TO 0.625 DAYS

LOAD CASE 4 IS LOAD STEP 4 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLEX= 0
FILE= PAD-TH.rst
DELTA T 0.625 TO 1.125 DAYS

LOAD CASE 5 IS LOAD STEP 5 SUBSTEP 2 COMPLEX= 0
FILE= PAD-TH.rst
DELTA T 1.125 TO 1.625 DAYS

LOAD CASE 6 IS LOAD STEP 6 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLEX= 0
FILE= PAD-TH.rst
DELTA T 1.625 TO 2.125 DAYS

LOAD CASE 7 IS LOAD STEP 7 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLEX= 0
FILE= PAD-TH.rst
DELTA T 2.125 TO 2.375 DAYS

LOAD CASE 8 IS LOAD STEP 8 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLEX= 0
FILE= PAD-TH.rst
DELTA T 2.375 TO 3.125 DAYS

LOAD CASE 9 IS LOAD STEP 9 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLEX= 0
FILE= PAD-TH.rst
DELTA T 3.125 TO 4.125 DAYS

LOAD CASE 10 IS LOAD STEP 10 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLEX= 0
FILE= PAD-TH.rst
DELTA T 4.125 TO 6.125 DAYS

LOAD CASE 11 IS LOAD STEP 11 SUBSTEP 1 COMPLEX= 0
FILE= PAD-TH.rst
DELTA T 6.125 TO 7.875 DAYS

COPY LOAD CASE 1 FROM FILE TO DATABASE

*** WARNING *** CP= 2021.056 TIME= 17:34:30
Cumulative iteration 16 may have been solved using different model or
boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be
erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

TITLE=
PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 0.25 DAYS

WRITE LOAD CASE 1 TO FILE PAD-TH.101

*** WARNING *** CP= 2021.917 TIME= 17:34:35
Cumulative iteration 23 may have been solved using different model or boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

LCOPER OPERATION ADD USING LOAD CASE= 2 FACTOR= 1.0000 ABS= 0

*** NOTE *** CP= 2022.438 TIME= 17:34:39
Load case combination data may not be correct unless viewed in the solution phase coordinate system (RSYS=SOLU).

TITLE=
PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 0.50 DAYS

WRITE LOAD CASE 2 TO FILE PAD-TH.102

*** WARNING *** CP= 2022.739 TIME= 17:34:39
Cumulative iteration 24 may have been solved using different model or boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

LCOPER OPERATION ADD USING LOAD CASE= 3 FACTOR= 1.0000 ABS= 0

TITLE=
PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 0.625 DAYS

WRITE LOAD CASE 3 TO FILE PAD-TH.103

*** WARNING *** CP= 2023.590 TIME= 17:34:45
Cumulative iteration 25 may have been solved using different model or boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

LCOPER OPERATION ADD USING LOAD CASE= 4 FACTOR= 1.0000 ABS= 0

TITLE=
PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 1.125 DAYS

WRITE LOAD CASE 4 TO FILE PAD-TH.104

*** WARNING *** CP= 2024.431 TIME= 17:34:50
Cumulative iteration 71 may have been solved using different model or boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

LCOPER OPERATION ADD USING LOAD CASE= 5 FACTOR= 1.0000 ABS= 0



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

TITLE=
PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 1.625 DAYS

WRITE LOAD CASE 5 TO FILE PAD-TH.105

*** WARNING *** CP= 2025.292 TIME= 17:34:52
Cumulative iteration 81 may have been solved using different model or
boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be
erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

LCOPER OPERATION ADD USING LOAD CASE= 6 FACTOR= 1.0000 ABS= 0

TITLE=
PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 2.125 DAYS

WRITE LOAD CASE 6 TO FILE PAD-TH.106

*** WARNING *** CP= 2026.203 TIME= 17:34:57
Cumulative iteration 86 may have been solved using different model or
boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be
erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

LCOPER OPERATION ADD USING LOAD CASE= 7 FACTOR= 1.0000 ABS= 0

TITLE=
PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 2.375 DAYS

WRITE LOAD CASE 7 TO FILE PAD-TH.107

*** WARNING *** CP= 2027.025 TIME= 17:35:01
Cumulative iteration 91 may have been solved using different model or
boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be
erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

LCOPER OPERATION ADD USING LOAD CASE= 8 FACTOR= 1.0000 ABS= 0

TITLE=
PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 3.125 DAYS

WRITE LOAD CASE 8 TO FILE PAD-TH.108

*** WARNING *** CP= 2027.926 TIME= 17:35:05
Cumulative iteration 93 may have been solved using different model or
boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be
erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

LCOPER OPERATION ADD USING LOAD CASE= 9 FACTOR= 1.0000 ABS= 0

TITLE=
PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 4.125 DAYS

WRITE LOAD CASE 9 TO FILE PAD-TH.109



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

*** WARNING *** CP= 2028.627 TIME= 17:35:09
 Cumulative iteration 94 may have been solved using different model or
 boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be
 erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

LCOPER OPERATION ADD USING LOAD CASE= 10 FACTOR= 1.0000 ABS= 0

TITLE=
 PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 6.125 DAYS

WRITE LOAD CASE 10 TO FILE PAD-TH.110

*** WARNING *** CP= 2029.418 TIME= 17:35:13
 Cumulative iteration 97 may have been solved using different model or
 boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be
 erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

LCOPER OPERATION ADD USING LOAD CASE= 11 FACTOR= 1.0000 ABS= 0

TITLE=
 PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 7.875 DAYS

WRITE LOAD CASE 11 TO FILE PAD-TH.111

EXIT THE ANSYS POST1 DATABASE PROCESSOR

***** ROUTINE COMPLETED ***** CP = 2030.279

print the temperatures for checking

8812 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.

10212 NODES (OF 10212 DEFINED) SELECTED BY NALL COMMAND.

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U
 00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:19 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2030.279

PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 7.875 DAYS

***** ANSYS RESULTS INTERPRETATION (POST1) *****

*** WARNING *** CP= 2030.279 TIME= 17:35:19
 The current solution may have been produced using different model or
 boundary condition data than is currently stored. POST1 results may
 be erroneous unless you perform a new solution using the stored data.

PRINT HEADER
 DO NOT PRINT SUBTITLE(S)



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

DO NOT PRINT LOAD STEP ID
 DO NOT PRINT NOTE LINE(S)
 PRINT COLUMN HEADER LABELS
 DO NOT PRINT REPORT TOTALS

SELECT FOR ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=X BETWEEN 0.0000 AND 0.0000
 KABS= 0. TOLERANCE= 0.100000E-05

626 NODES (OF 10212 DEFINED) SELECTED BY NSEL COMMAND.

RESELECT FOR ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Z BETWEEN 0.0000 AND 0.0000
 KABS= 0. TOLERANCE= 0.100000E-05

26 NODES (OF 10212 DEFINED) SELECTED BY NSEL COMMAND.

RESELECT FOR ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y BETWEEN -145.00 AND 0.0000
 KABS= 0. TOLERANCE= 0.145000E-05

18 NODES (OF 10212 DEFINED) SELECTED BY NSEL COMMAND.

LIST ALL SELECTED NODES. DSYS= 0
 1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U
 00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:19 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2030.289

PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 7.875 DAYS

NODE	X	Y	Z	THXY	THYZ	THZX
1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	0.0000	-24.000	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0.0000	-18.000	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0.0000	-12.000	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0.0000	-6.0000	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
61	0.0000	-84.000	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
71	0.0000	-72.000	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
72	0.0000	-60.000	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
73	0.0000	-48.000	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
74	0.0000	-36.000	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
113	0.0000	-96.000	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
123	0.0000	-90.000	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
133	0.0000	-96.000	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
146	0.0000	-108.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
156	0.0000	-102.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
169	0.0000	-144.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
179	0.0000	-132.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00
180	0.0000	-120.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00

USE LOAD STEP 1 SUBSTEP 0 FOR LOAD CASE 0

*** WARNING *** CP= 2030.289 TIME= 17:35:20
 Cumulative iteration 16 may have been solved using different model or boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

SET COMMAND GOT LOAD STEP= 1 SUBSTEP= 1 CUMULATIVE ITERATION= 16
 TIME/FREQUENCY= 1.0000
 TITLE= DELTA T 0.0 TO 0.25 DAYS

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U
 00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:23 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2030.980

DELTA T 0.0 TO 0.25 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	15.000
28	18.000
27	19.670
26	21.330
16	21.330
74	21.330
73	21.330
72	21.330
71	21.330
61	20.500
123	19.660
113	10.670
133	10.670
156	1.6700
146	0.84000
180	0.0000
179	0.0000
169	0.0000

USE LOAD STEP 2 SUBSTEP 0 FOR LOAD CASE 0

*** WARNING *** CP= 2030.980 TIME= 17:35:23
 Cumulative iteration 23 may have been solved using different model or
 boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be
 erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

SET COMMAND GOT LOAD STEP= 2 SUBSTEP= 1 CUMULATIVE ITERATION= 23
 TIME/FREQUENCY= 2.0000
 TITLE= DELTA T 0.25 TO 0.50 DAYS

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1


**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC. Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006**

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U
 00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:25 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2031.521

DELTA T 0.25 TO 0.50 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	-2.5000
28	10.500
27	9.2500
26	8.0000
16	16.670
74	25.330
73	25.330
72	25.330
71	25.120
61	21.410
123	17.920
113	12.660
133	12.660
156	7.4100
146	3.9100
180	0.21000
179	0.0000
169	0.0000

USE LOAD STEP 3 SUBSTEP 0 FOR LOAD CASE 0

*** WARNING *** CP= 2031.521 TIME= 17:35:25
 Cumulative iteration 24 may have been solved using different model or
 boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be
 erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

SET COMMAND GOT LOAD STEP= 3 SUBSTEP= 1 CUMULATIVE ITERATION= 24
 TIME/FREQUENCY= 3.0000
 TITLE= DELTA T 0.50 TO 0.625 DAYS

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U
 00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:27 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2032.132

DELTA T 0.50 TO 0.625 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	-3.0000
28	-1.3500



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

27	9.3200
26	13.4600
16	9.3300
74	9.3300
73	9.3300
72	9.3300
71	9.3300
61	9.3300
123	2.0400
113	4.6700
133	4.6700
156	7.3000
146	0.0000
180	0.0000
179	0.0000
169	0.0000

USE LOAD STEP 4 SUBSTEP 0 FOR LOAD CASE 0

*** WARNING *** CP= 2032.142 TIME= 17:35:27
Cumulative iteration 25 may have been solved using different model or boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

SET COMMAND GOT LOAD STEP= 4 SUBSTEP= 1 CUMULATIVE ITERATION= 25
TIME/FREQUENCY= 4.0000
TITLE= DELTA T 0.625 TO 1.125 DAYS

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE
1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:29 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2032.76

DELTA T 0.625 TO 1.125 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	-5.4000
28	-7.4400
27	-8.8100
26	-3.1000
16	2.6200
74	15.000
73	20.110
72	20.320
71	17.860
61	11.880
123	11.110
113	10.330
133	10.330



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

156	9.5500
146	8.7800
180	2.8100
179	0.35000
169	0.10000E-01

USE LOAD STEP 5 SUBSTEP 0 FOR LOAD CASE 0

*** WARNING *** CP= 2032.763 TIME= 17:35:29
 Cumulative iteration 71 may have been solved using different model or
 boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be
 erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

SET COMMAND GOT LOAD STEP= 5 SUBSTEP= 2 CUMULATIVE ITERATION= 71
 TIME/FREQUENCY= 5.0000

TITLE= DELTA T 1.125 TO 1.625 DAYS

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****

ANSYS/Mechanical U

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:29 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2033.264

DELTA T 1.125 TO 1.625 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	-2.1000
28	-5.5000
27	-6.4600
26	-4.7300
16	-3.0000
74	2.2500
73	7.3200
72	8.4500
71	6.3200
61	3.7400
123	4.4500
113	5.1600
133	5.1600
156	5.8800
146	6.6000
180	3.9800
179	1.3800
169	0.25000

USE LOAD STEP 6 SUBSTEP 0 FOR LOAD CASE 0

*** WARNING *** CP= 2033.274 TIME= 17:35:29
 Cumulative iteration 81 may have been solved using different model or



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

SET COMMAND GOT LOAD STEP= 6 SUBSTEP= 1 CUMULATIVE ITERATION= 81

TIME/FREQUENCY= 6.0000

TITLE= DELTA T 1.625 TO 2.125 DAYS

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****

ANSYS/Mechanical U

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:30 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2033.795

DELTA T 1.625 TO 2.125 DAYS

NODE BFETEMP

1 -0.80000

28 -3.0600

27 -4.0600

26 -3.9600

16 -3.8700

74 -2.2200

73 0.96000

72 2.2900

71 1.5300

61 0.91000

123 1.8700

113 2.8300

133 2.8300

156 3.7800

146 4.7200

180 3.8200

179 1.9800

169 0.53000

USE LOAD STEP 7 SUBSTEP 0 FOR LOAD CASE 0

*** WARNING *** CP= 2033.795 TIME= 17:35:30

Cumulative iteration 86 may have been solved using different model or boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

SET COMMAND GOT LOAD STEP= 7 SUBSTEP= 1 CUMULATIVE ITERATION= 86

TIME/FREQUENCY= 7.0000

TITLE= DELTA T 2.125 TO 2.375 DAYS

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****

ANSYS/Mechanical U

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:30 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2034.315

DELTA T 2.125 TO 2.375 DAYS

NODE BFETEMP

1	-0.20000
28	-0.94000
27	-1.2800
26	-1.4700
16	-1.6500
74	-1.5100
73	-0.54000
72	0.80000E-01
71	0.90000E-01
61	0.20000
123	0.63000
113	1.0600
133	1.0600
156	1.4600
146	1.8600
180	1.7300
179	1.0500
169	0.33000

USE LOAD STEP 8 SUBSTEP 0 FOR LOAD CASE 0

*** WARNING *** CP= 2034.315 TIME= 17:35:30

Cumulative iteration 91 may have been solved using different model or boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

SET COMMAND GOT LOAD STEP= 8 SUBSTEP= 1 CUMULATIVE ITERATION= 91
TIME/FREQUENCY= 8.0000
TITLE= DELTA T 2.375 TO 3.125 DAYS

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****

ANSYS/Mechanical U

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:31 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2034.826

DELTA T 2.375 TO 3.125 DAYS

NODE BFETEMP



ENERCON

ENRON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

1	-0.50000
28	-2.1300
27	-3.0700
26	-3.9000
16	-4.7400
74	-5.6000
73	-4.2000
72	-2.7200
71	-1.8500
61	-0.66000
123	0.64000
113	1.9400
133	1.9400
156	3.1000
146	4.2600
180	4.3900
179	3.0000
169	1.0300

USE LOAD STEP 9 SUBSTEP 0 FOR LOAD CASE 0

*** WARNING *** CP= 2034.836 TIME= 17:35:31
Cumulative iteration 93 may have been solved using different model or
boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be
erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

SET COMMAND GOT LOAD STEP= 9 SUBSTEP= 1 CUMULATIVE ITERATION= 93
TIME/FREQUENCY= 9.0000
TITLE= DELTA T 3.125 TO 4.125 DAYS

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****

ANSYS/Mechanical U

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:32 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2035.357

DELTA T 3.125 TO 4.125 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	-0.30000
28	-2.0300
27	-3.1400
26	-4.4900
16	-5.8400
74	-8.3400
73	-8.3500
72	-7.1100
71	-5.3300
61	-2.9100
123	-1.1900



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

113	0.54000
133	0.54000
156	1.9800
146	3.4300
180	4.1800
179	3.1900
169	1.1800

USE LOAD STEP 10 SUBSTEP 0 FOR LOAD CASE 0

*** WARNING *** CP= 2035.357 TIME= 17:35:32
 Cumulative iteration 94 may have been solved using different model or
 boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be
 erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

SET COMMAND GOT LOAD STEP= 10 SUBSTEP= 1 CUMULATIVE ITERATION= 94
 TIME/FREQUENCY= 10.000
 TITLE= DELTA T 4.125 TO 6.125 DAYS

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U
 00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:32 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2035.877

DELTA T 4.125 TO 6.125 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	0.0000
28	-1.3800
27	-2.6100
26	-4.7600
16	-6.9100
74	-11.780
73	-13.650
72	-12.770
71	-9.8200
61	-5.6000
123	-3.3600
113	-1.1200
133	-1.1200
156	0.66000
146	2.4300
180	4.0600
179	3.5200
169	1.3700

USE LOAD STEP 11 SUBSTEP 0 FOR LOAD CASE 0



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

*** WARNING *** CP= 2035.877 TIME= 17:35:32

Cumulative iteration 97 may have been solved using different model or boundary condition data than currently stored. POST1 results may be erroneous unless you RESUME from a Jobname.DB file for this substep.

SET COMMAND GOT LOAD STEP= 11 SUBSTEP= 1 CUMULATIVE ITERATION= 97
TIME/FREQUENCY= 11.000
TITLE= DELTA T 6.125 TO 7.875 DAYS

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:33 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2036.398

DELTA T 6.125 TO 7.875 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	0.0000
28	-0.69000
27	-1.3300
26	-2.5100
16	-3.6900
74	-6.5800
73	-8.1200
72	-8.1000
71	-6.7500
61	-4.5600
123	-3.3800
113	-2.2000
133	-2.2000
156	-1.2300
146	-0.25000
180	0.85000
179	1.0100
169	0.43000

COPY LOAD CASE 1 FROM FILE TO DATABASE

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:34 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2037.099

PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 0.25 DAYS



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

NODE	BFETEMP
1	15.000
28	18.000
27	19.670
26	21.330
16	21.330
74	21.330
73	21.330
72	21.330
71	21.330
61	20.500
123	19.660
113	10.670
133	10.670
156	1.6700
146	0.84000
180	0.0000
179	0.0000
169	0.0000

COPY LOAD CASE 2 FROM FILE TO DATABASE

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U
 00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:36 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2037.830

PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 0.50 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	12.500
28	28.500
27	28.920
26	29.330
16	38.000
74	46.660
73	46.660
72	46.660
71	46.450
61	41.910
123	37.580
113	23.330
133	23.330
156	9.0800
146	4.7500
180	0.21000
179	0.0000
169	0.0000



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

COPY LOAD CASE 3 FROM FILE TO DATABASE

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****

ANSYS/Mechanical U

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:37 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2038.581

PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 0.625 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	9.5000
28	27.150
27	38.240
26	42.790
16	47.330
74	55.990
73	55.990
72	55.990
71	55.780
61	51.240
123	39.620
113	28.000
133	28.000
156	16.380
146	4.7500
180	0.21000
179	0.0000
169	0.0000

COPY LOAD CASE 4 FROM FILE TO DATABASE

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****

ANSYS/Mechanical U

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:39 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2039.332

PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 1.125 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	4.1000
28	19.710
27	29.430



ENERCON

SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

26	39.690
16	49.950
74	70.990
73	76.100
72	76.310
71	73.640
61	63.120
123	50.730
113	38.330
133	38.330
156	25.930
146	13.530
180	3.0200
179	0.35000
169	0.10000E-01

COPY LOAD CASE 5 FROM FILE TO DATABASE

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****

ANSYS/Mechanical U

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:40 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2040.124

PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 1.625 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	2.0000
28	14.210
27	22.970
26	34.960
16	46.950
74	73.240
73	83.420
72	84.760
71	79.960
61	66.860
123	55.180
113	43.490
133	43.490
156	31.810
146	20.130
180	7.0000
179	1.7300
169	0.26000

COPY LOAD CASE 6 FROM FILE TO DATABASE

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U
 00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:42 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2040.935

PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 2.125 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	1.2000
28	11.150
27	18.910
26	31.000
16	43.080
74	71.020
73	84.380
72	87.050
71	81.490
61	67.770
123	57.050
113	46.320
133	46.320
156	35.590
146	24.850
180	10.820
179	3.7100
169	0.79000

COPY LOAD CASE 7 FROM FILE TO DATABASE

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U
 00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:43 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2041.676

PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 2.375 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	1.0000
28	10.210
27	17.630
26	29.530
16	41.430
74	69.510
73	83.840
72	87.130
71	81.580



**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.**

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

61	67.970
123	57.680
113	47.380
133	47.380
156	37.050
146	26.710
180	12.550
179	4.7600
169	1.1200

COPY LOAD CASE 8 FROM FILE TO DATABASE

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U
 00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:45 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2042.467

PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 3.125 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	0.50000
28	8.0800
27	14.560
26	25.630
16	36.690
74	63.910
73	79.640
72	84.410
71	79.730
61	67.310
123	58.320
113	49.320
133	49.320
156	40.150
146	30.970
180	16.940
179	7.7600
169	2.1500

COPY LOAD CASE 9 FROM FILE TO DATABASE

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
 ANSYS/Mechanical U



ENERCON
SERVICES, INC.

Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:46 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2043.138

PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 4.125 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	0.20000
28	6.0500
27	11.420
26	21.140
16	30.850
74	55.570
73	71.290
72	77.300
71	74.400
61	64.400
123	57.130
113	49.860
133	49.860
156	42.130
146	34.400
180	21.120
179	10.950
169	3.3300

COPY LOAD CASE 10 FROM FILE TO DATABASE

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U

00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:48 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2043.839

PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 6.125 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	0.20000
28	4.6700
27	8.8100
26	16.380
16	23.940
74	43.790
73	57.640
72	64.530
71	64.580
61	58.800
123	53.770
113	48.740
133	48.740
156	42.790
146	36.830


**ENERCON
SERVICES, INC. Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006**

180 25.180
179 14.470
169 4.7000

COPY LOAD CASE 11 FROM FILE TO DATABASE

SORT ON ITEM=LOC COMPONENT=Y ORDER= 0 KABS= 0 NMAX= 10212

SORT COMPLETED FOR 18 VALUES.

PRINT BFE NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE

1

***** ANSYS - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS SYSTEM RELEASE 6.1 *****
ANSYS/Mechanical U
00245050 VERSION=INTEL NT 17:35:49 JUN 10, 2002 CP= 2044.550

PAD RESPONSE AT END OF 7.875 DAYS

NODE	BFETEMP
1	0.20000
28	3.9800
27	7.4800
26	13.870
16	20.250
74	37.210
73	49.520
72	56.430
71	57.830
61	54.240
123	50.390
113	46.540
133	46.540
156	41.560
146	36.580
180	26.030
179	15.480
169	5.1300

NODE SORT REMOVED

EXIT THE ANSYS POST1 DATABASE PROCESSOR

***** ROUTINE COMPLETED ***** CP = 2044.550

8812 ELEMENTS (OF 8812 DEFINED) SELECTED BY EALL COMMAND.

10212 NODES (OF 10212 DEFINED) SELECTED BY NALL COMMAND.

EXIT ANSYS WITHOUT SAVING DATABASE

ENERCON
SERVICES, INC. Appendix RPad-TH to Calculation PGE-009-CALC-006

NUMBER OF ERROR MESSAGES ENCOUNTERED= 0

ANSYS RUN COMPLETED

Release 6.1

UP20020321

INTEL NT

Maximum Scratch Memory Used = 61921432 Words 236.212 MB

CP Time (sec) = 2044.560 Time = 17:35:49
Elapsed Time (sec) = 2792.000 Date = 06/10/2002